



ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

# LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

## Parts I and III of Use of Force Audits: Audit Recommendations Follow-up Report Antelope Valley Stations Project No. 2025-3-R

Prepared By:

**Audit and Accountability Bureau**



2025

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>Background</b> .....	2
Use of Force Audits .....	2
Completed Audits in this Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report .....	3
<b>Recommendations</b> .....	4
Recommendation Terms.....	4
Total Recommendations Per Audit .....	4
Classification of Recommendations .....	5
Definition of Status .....	6
Summary of Total Recommendations.....	7
Use of Force Recommendations Responsibility Per Unit.....	8
<b>Management Response</b> .....	9
Summary of Use of Force Recommendations Status .....	10
Recommendations Status for Each AV Station.....	11
Recommendations Responses .....	12
<b>Conclusion</b> .....	21
<b>Views of Responsible Officials</b> .....	22

**Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department  
Audit and Accountability Bureau**

**Parts I and III of Use of Force Audits:  
Audit Recommendations Follow-Up Report  
Antelope Valley Stations  
Project No. 2025-3-R**

**INTRODUCTION**

The mission at the Audit and Accountability Bureau (AAB) is to conduct law enforcement performance audits, as an internal control for the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD or the Department).

The AAB produced the Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report (follow-up report) as part of the AAB's accountability mission and organizational independence. The AAB obtains and tracks the status of Comprehensive Review Report (review) recommendations from the auditee(s) and reports all findings with impartiality. Upon request, the AAB provides the auditee(s) with clarity regarding their respective audit recommendations. However, the AAB does not provide input as to what actions should be taken to address the recommendations contained within the audits.

This report is generated to encompass all recommendations from January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024, pertaining to use of force. During this reporting period, six (6) audits were completed. Additionally, one (1) reassessment audit and two (2) reviews were composed, resulting in a total of eight (8) recommendations made by the AAB. After the AAB issues its recommendations, it then tracks the status of these recommendations from the date of publication to the date they are deemed implemented or not implemented. The AAB produces a follow-up report to provide a comprehensive overview of the Department's progress in addressing the recommendations provided, in alignment with the AAB's aforementioned organizational independence.

Additional ongoing reviews were conducted for Public Complaints and Stops and Detentions, in accordance with the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) Antelope Valley (AV) Settlement Agreement (Agreement) and are addressed in subsequent follow-up reports.

## BACKGROUND

The County of Los Angeles, the Department, and the DOJ entered into the Agreement on April 28, 2015, with the goal of ensuring police services are provided to the AV community in a manner which fully complies with the Constitution and the laws of The United States. The Department is expected to implement the mandated stipulations of the Agreement to effectively ensure both public and deputy safety, while fostering renewed public confidence in the LASD.

The AAB was authorized by the Sheriff of Los Angeles County, the DOJ, and the Monitoring Team (MT) to conduct audits of the Department's use of force process. To improve efficiency and effectiveness, the AAB shifted its audit approach from conducting full-scale audits to limited scope audits, focusing on a narrow set of audit objectives and specific audit populations. This refined approach seeks to provide timely feedback to the AV Stations, facilitate opportunities for operational improvement, and demonstrate an increasing commitment toward compliance.

The **Use of Force Audits** were conducted as follows:

### Use of Force Audits

Part	Audit
I	De-escalation and Use of Force Assessment
III	Use of Force Training and Oversight of Public Recordings

Each part of the Use of Force Audits targeted a specific paragraph of the Agreement. When combined, these audits provided a comprehensive evaluation of all required aspects of the Agreement.

The table below lists the Use of Force Audits conducted, their respective project numbers, report type, and completion dates.

**Completed Audits in this Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report**

Part	Audit	Project No.	Report Type	Completion Date
I	De-escalation and Use of Force Assessment	2024-5-A	Audit	06/24/24
		2024-18-A	Audit	11/07/24
		2024-31-A	Audit	12/10/24
		2024-51-A	Comprehensive	08/13/25
		2024-53-A	Reassessment	08/19/25
III	Use of Force Training and Oversight of Public Recordings	2024-9-A	Audit	06/24/24
		2024-22-A	Audit	10/10/24
		2024-35-A	Audit	01/15/25
		2024-64-A	Comprehensive	08/13/25

The reviews provided an analysis of the AV Stations' performance for each sub-objective over the course of the completed audits. The reviews showed the areas where the AV Stations met the established compliance metrics and displayed continuous progression, as well as the areas requiring further enhancement. Data patterns, trends, and observations were also identified. These reviews assist the AV Stations in optimizing operations, mitigating risks, and progressing toward fulfilling the requirements of the Agreement.


## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **RECOMMENDATION TERMS**

Audit recommendations are the corrective actions suggested by the auditor to improve performance, efficiency, compliance, and reduce risk management liabilities for the Department. The recommendations are intended to represent the needs of the stakeholder community and improve the relationship between the public and the Department.

The bar chart below displays the total number of recommendations per part for the Use of Force Audits.

### **Total Recommendations Per Audit**







## CLASSIFICATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

As part of the Department’s commitment to continuous improvement, the AAB categorized the status of each recommendation into four classifications: **Implemented**, **In Progress**, **Ongoing**, and **Not Implemented**.

The visual aids reflected in the Classification of Recommendations table below provide a clear and concise overview of these classifications for the reporting period. This enables stakeholders to easily track progress and evaluate the extent to which corrective actions have been taken.

### Classification of Recommendations

Icon	Status	Definition
	Implemented	Corrective action has been taken to address a recommendation deficiency to improve performance, efficiency, and reduce liabilities for the Department. Management has provided proof of recommendation implementation.
	In Progress	Management has begun taking action to address noted audit deficiencies; however, it has not been deemed complete. The corrective action is still in progress.
	Ongoing	Management has provided a corrective action response requiring further follow-up on recommendation status and re-evaluation of the progress toward addressing a noted deficiency.
	Not Implemented	No corrective action has been taken by management. Management has either disagreed with the recommendation or is unable to implement it due to factors such as resources or cost. No further action will be reported.

## **DEFINITION OF STATUS**

### Implemented

Recommendations in this category have been deemed implemented. Details on the progress made toward implementing each recommendation may include corrective action plans, recurrent briefings, proof of training, or changes to policy. Implemented corrective action ensures that recommendations are effective and sustainable.

### In Progress

A recommendation has been deemed in progress based on the status information provided. Each recommendation is discussed with the auditee, including the original due date, and any planned actions or reasons for delay.

In progress recommendations have begun to be implemented by management; however, they have not been fully completed due to various factors including resource constraints, scheduling conflicts, and prioritization of other ongoing projects. Detailed plans are in place to initiate and complete these recommendations within specific timeframes. Continuous monitoring and update requests will be conducted to ensure progress and timely completion.

### Ongoing

A recommendation has been deemed ongoing based on the status information provided. An ongoing status designation for an audit recommendation is typically associated with those recommendations requiring more time or ongoing processes to complete and implement. These recommendations cannot be implemented in a short period of time due to varying issues such as staffing, cost, and available technology.

### Not Implemented

A recommendation has been deemed not implemented if:

- Management disagrees: Management has made the decision not to implement the recommendation. No further action will be reported.
- Unable to implement: Management reported they were unable to implement the recommendation due to lack of resources or cost. No further action will be reported.

PARTS I AND III OF USE OF FORCE AUDITS  
 AUDIT RECOMMENDATION FOLLOW-UP REPORT  
 ANTELOPE VALLEY STATIONS  
 PROJECT NO. 2025-3-R


The table below provides a summary of the Use of Force Comprehensive Review and Reassessment Reports, including the associated project numbers and the implementation status of each recommendation.

**Summary of Total Recommendations**

Part	Audit	Project No.	Implemented	In-Progress	Not Implemented	Completion %
I	De-Escalation and Use of Force Assessment	2024-51-A 2024-53-A	4	1	0	80%
III	Use of Force Training and Oversight of Public Recordings	2024-64-A	3	0	0	100%


The pie chart below demonstrates Use of Force Audits' recommendations responsibility by unit.

**Use of Force Recommendations Responsibility Per Unit**



# Management Response

**Summary of Use of Force Recommendations Status**





A total of eight recommendations were identified through the Use of Force Audits. Of these, six applied directly to both AV Stations. The remaining two recommendations involved the Field Operations Support Services (FOSS) unit, and the Department of Justice Compliance Unit (DOJ Unit).

In instances where differing statuses for the same recommendation were reported, the lower completion status was recorded to maintain consistency and accountability.

The progress and compliance status of all recommendations is detailed in the narrative section of the recommendations tracker.

The charts below depict the individual status of Use of Force Audits' recommendations for the AV Stations (based on six applicable recommendations).

**Recommendations Status for Each AV Station**



# Recommendations Responses

**Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report**  
**Recommendations for the Use of Force (UOF) Comprehensive Review**  
**Report**  
**Antelope Valley Stations**  
**2025-3-R**

**Part I: De-Escalation and Use of Force Assessment**  
**Project No. 2024-51-A**  
**Project No. 2024-53-A**

**Recommendation 1**

**Objective 2(c) - Proportional Force**

**Status**

It is recommended Department personnel continue to assess the totality of the circumstances, including the conduct of the subject leading up to the use of force. This assessment will assist personnel in determining a balance between the threat posed, the seriousness of the suspected crime, and the amount of force used. Department personnel should be reminded through monthly briefings that they are authorized to use only the amount of force consistent with Department policy to perform their duties. These briefings should be documented in the watch commander logs and/or an Automated Personnel In-Service (APIS) roster.



**Response to Recommendation 1**

Status: **IMPLEMENTED**


(Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)

**Palmdale:**


*Palmdale Station has implemented a recurring briefing titled, "Force Considerations, Proportionality to Threat/Resistance, and Force as a Last Resort." These briefings were last conducted on December 5, 2024, and December 6, 2024. They were designed to remind deputies about changes in the law (835a PC), policy and procedures in De-escalation/Force Prevention, Restrictions on the Use of Force (MPP 3-10/009.00), and Unreasonable Force/Duty to Intervene (MPP 3-10/030.00). All briefings were tracked in the Watch Commander's log.*


**Lancaster:**

*Lancaster Station has implemented ongoing assessments of the totality of circumstances in all use of force incidents. Personnel are reminded to evaluate the subject's conduct, the threat posed, and the seriousness of the crime to ensure force used aligns with Department policy. Monthly briefings reinforcing this standard are documented in the Watch Commander logs and/or an Automated Personnel In-Service roster.*


Recommendation 2	
Objective 3(a) - Management Oversight of Critical Deficiencies	Status
It is recommended that management hold supervisors accountable for thorough UOF investigations when personnel use actions that are not consistent with the Settlement Agreement or Department policy. Management should document any correction action taken in the “Determination by Unit Commander” section of the UOF review.	
Response to Recommendation 2	
Status: <b>IMPLEMENTED</b>	(Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)
<p><b>Palmdale:</b>  <i>Palmdale Station Unit Commanders routinely go over each use of force packet checking for accuracy, training issues, and whether supervisors are noting if any policy violations have occurred. In the Unit Commanders section of the UOF packet, the Unit Commander routinely reviews the incident and determines a course of action for any Department member associated with the use of force. Recommendations from the Unit Commanders can range from counseling the deputy (which may be provided by the Unit Commander), to requesting specific training for Department members. The Unit Commanders also send the station sergeants a copy of their review to be utilized as a learning tool. This process was recently started toward the beginning of 2025.</i></p> <p><b>Lancaster:</b>  <i>Lancaster Station has implemented a process to ensure accountability and thoroughness in all Use of Force (UOF) investigations. Management holds supervisors accountable for conducting comprehensive reviews whenever personnel actions are determined to be inconsistent with the Settlement Agreement or Department policy. Any corrective actions taken as a result of these reviews, including but not limited to training, counseling, issuance of PLEs, and administrative investigations, are documented in the “Determination by Unit Commander” section of the UOF review to promote transparency and ensure compliance.</i></p>	

PARTS I AND III OF USE OF FORCE AUDITS  
 AUDIT RECOMMENDATION FOLLOW-UP REPORT  
 ANTELOPE VALLEY STATIONS  
 PROJECT NO. 2025-3-R

Recommendation 3	
Objective 3(b) - Management Oversight of Non-Critical Deficiencies	Status
It is recommended management implement a “management monitoring process” to address and identify discrepancies or issues discovered during the review process and document corrective action taken in a database available to AV Station supervisors.	
<b>Response to Recommendation 3</b>	
Status: <b>IMPLEMENTED</b> (Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)	
<p><b>Palmdale:</b>  <i>All Category 1 (CAT 1) and Category 2 (CAT 2), uses of force are reviewed by Operations (Risk Management, Operations Sergeant, Operations Lieutenant) for accuracy and any discrepancies in the investigation. If any are located in the investigation, the report is returned to the sergeant for further work and documentation. All CAT 2 uses of force are further scrutinized and reviewed (BWC video watched and issues addressed) by the Division Chief prior to the force investigation report being completed. Any issue Command Staff notates and points out are relayed to the investigating sergeant to address. Recommended training is also relayed to the sergeant. If any training is recommended, the Training and Scheduling office schedules the deputies and sergeants (if a sergeant is recommended) to whichever training the sergeant, lieutenant, or Unit Commander suggested. Any training scheduled is collected and stored with the Training and Scheduling office. If a supervisor needs to know how many times a deputy has gone to a certain training, they are able to contact the Training and Scheduling office and be provided with the information requested.</i></p> <p><b>Lancaster:</b>  <i>Lancaster Station has implemented a management monitoring process to ensure accountability, oversight, and timely resolution of issues identified during reviews. Management evaluates reports and investigations to identify discrepancies or patterns and documents corrective actions such as training, counseling, or procedural adjustments. A centralized database to track and monitor these corrective actions is currently being developed and will be accessible to Lancaster Station supervisors once implemented. This process promotes transparency, consistency, and ongoing compliance with Department policy.</i></p>	

Recommendation 4	
Objective 3(b) - Management Oversight of Non-Critical Deficiencies	Status
It is recommended the Field Operations Support Services (FOSS) Unit revise the user's guide to align with current UOF policies, internal processes, and best practices. This update should provide supervisors with clear guidance for accurately documenting and reviewing UOF investigations.	
<b>Response to Recommendation 4 - Field Operations Support Services (FOSS)</b>	
Status: <b>IN PROGRESS</b> (Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)	
<p><b>FOSS</b>  <i>FOSS is addressing the recommendation to update the Use of Force User Guide and is in the process of identifying subject matter experts (SMEs) on the topic. Once a SME has been identified, they will review the guide to determine whether revisions are necessary. After reaching consensus, FOSS will either maintain the guide in its current form or proceed with updates.</i></p> <p><b>Palmdale:</b>  <i>As of the time of this response, there are no Field Operations Directives, FOSS newsletters, or Unit Orders, directing supervisors on reviewing UOF investigations. The only directives available are from MPP/ 2-0/080.00, 3-10/110.0 (Sergeants, updated 07-19-2024), FOSS Newsletter 20-23 (Sergeants Responsibilities When Force Used), and MPP/ 2-02/070.00, 3-10/111.00 (Lieutenants, updated 07-19-2024).</i></p> <p><b>Lancaster:</b>  <i>Lancaster Station management does not have oversight of FOSS; however, we concur with the recommendation.</i></p>	

PARTS I AND III OF USE OF FORCE AUDITS  
 AUDIT RECOMMENDATION FOLLOW-UP REPORT  
 ANTELOPE VALLEY STATIONS  
 PROJECT NO. 2025-3-R

Recommendation 5	
Objective 3(b) - Management Oversight of Non-Critical Deficiencies	Status
It is recommended that all supervisors completing the UOF investigations review all associated BWC footage on Evidence.com and note their review in the “Notes” section prior to completing the investigation report. This will allow management to hold supervisors accountable for adequately documenting pertinent information regarding the incident on the Supervisor’s Report on UOF, providing a thorough review, determination, and adjudication of the investigation to ensure accuracy.	
Response to Recommendation 5	
Status: <b>IMPLEMENTED</b>	(Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)
<p><b>Palmdale:</b>  <i>Palmdale Station continually reminds supervisors to review all BWC videos that involve a UOF. On October 25, 2023, all supervisors were notified that North Patrol Division would be having weekly UOF and Pursuit reviews (beginning on November 5, 2024). These reviews pertained to all CAT 2 use of force videos and pursuits. Weekly, the Risk Management Sergeant or Operations Sergeant review CAT 2 uses of force or pursuits with the Division Commander and discuss any issues seen, as well as any recommendations made regarding the UOF. The station also requires the Risk Management Sergeant to review all BWC video that pertains to a CAT 1 and CAT 2 uses of force, to ensure the investigating sergeant and watch commander did not miss anything in their review of the UOF videos. Any observed deficiencies/discrepancies in the force packet are noted and returned to the sergeant and watch commander to review and better articulate.</i></p> <p><b>Lancaster:</b>  <i>Lancaster Station acknowledges the recommendation that all supervisors completing Use of Force (UOF) investigations review all associated BWC footage on Evidence.com and note their review in the “Notes” section prior to completing the investigation report. We recognize that this practice could enhance accountability by ensuring supervisors document pertinent information on the Supervisor’s Report on UOF, supporting a thorough review, determination, and adjudication of the investigation. Currently, when a supervisor views video on Evidence.com, an audit trail is automatically created, and supervisors are required to add each piece of BWC to the Evidence.com case folder, which already confirms that the footage has been reviewed. While noting the review in the “Notes” section may be somewhat redundant, it is feasible. This procedure is in progress at Lancaster Station.</i></p>	
Recommendations Implemented	<b>4</b>
Recommendations in Progress	<b>1</b>
Recommendations Not Implemented (Declined, Unable, Already Changed)	<b>0</b>
Total Recommendations	<b>5</b>

**Part III: Use of Force Training and Oversight of Public Recordings  
 Project No. 2024-64-A**

**Recommendation 6**

**Objective 1(a) - Force Training (Annual)**

**Status**

It is recommended the AV Stations continue to prioritize ongoing continuous training to remain in compliance with the Agreement. If a training plan has been implemented, the continuation is imperative to the Department's goal of compliance. The training plan should follow a structured schedule as closely as possible with available personnel, and training offered by the Department. Efforts should also be made to increase availability of training for AV Station personnel to prevent a lapse in the time frame requirements per the Settlement Agreement.



**Response to Recommendation 6**

Status: **IMPLEMENTED**


(Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)

**Palmdale:**


*Palmdale Station has sent several station deputies to Force Instructor School, so that an in-house UOF refresher course can be utilized when the Unit Commander, UOF investigating watch commander, or UOF investigating sergeant determines that a deputy requires additional training. Additionally, deputies have been sent to biennial refresher training by the station's scheduling and training team. All deputies that attend an in-house UOF station training or the biennial training, have their training tracked by the station's scheduling and training office.*

**Lancaster:**

*Lancaster Station has implemented an ongoing continuous training program to ensure compliance with the Settlement Agreement. The training plan follows a structured schedule aligned with available personnel and Department provided courses, with efforts made to maximize training availability for all Lancaster Station personnel. By maintaining this consistent approach, the Station ensures that training requirements are met, supporting the Department's goal of sustained compliance and preventing any lapses in mandated time frames.*

Recommendation 7	
Objective 1(b) - Supervisors UOF Investigations Refresher Training	Status
<p>It is recommended the Department should prioritize consistent follow-ups with the DOJ and AV Monitoring Team to expedite the review process and address any feedback or necessary revisions as promptly as possible. Additionally, the Department should plan and allocate resources to ensure efficient implementation of the training once the proposed training is approved. By preparing logistical and administrative plans, the Department can ensure the timely rollout of the required training program.</p>	
<p><b>Response to Recommendation 7 - Department of Justice (DOJ) Compliance Unit</b></p>	
<p>Status: <b>IMPLMENTED</b> (Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)</p>	
<p><b>DOJ:</b>  <i>The DOJ and AV monitoring team continue to expedite the review process and provide feedback as quickly as possible to the Department. The DOJ continues to remain available to address any Department feedback.</i></p> <p><i>An 8-hour "Use of Force for Supervisors / Arrest and Control" training was conducted on Monday, October 27, 2025, at the North Force Training Unit (FTU) Trailer (located at Pitchess Detention Center), with 14 North Patrol Division sergeants (including Palmdale and Lancaster Station) in attendance. The training was a success, and moving forward, similar sessions will be scheduled regularly for all sergeants assigned to Palmdale and Lancaster Stations.</i></p> <p><i>Additionally, this class will be incorporated into the Supervisory School curriculum to ensure all sergeants—whether newly assigned to Palmdale, Lancaster, or transferring from another unit—receive the proper training prior to reporting to their respective stations.</i></p>	
<p><b>Palmdale:</b>  <i>The Department has recently conducted a refresher course for Supervisors UOF refresher training for the AV Stations . This training was held at Pitchess Detention Center UOF trailer on October 27, 2025.</i></p>	
<p><b>Lancaster:</b>  <i>Lancaster Station concurs with the recommendation and will continue to follow up regularly with the DOJ and AV Monitoring Team to expedite the review process and address any feedback or necessary revisions expeditiously. Lancaster Station will ensure the required training is approved, resources are allocated, and the training is implemented efficiently, ensuring timely participation by Station personnel and compliance with the Settlement Agreement.</i></p>	

PARTS I AND III OF USE OF FORCE AUDITS  
 AUDIT RECOMMENDATION FOLLOW-UP REPORT  
 ANTELOPE VALLEY STATIONS  
 PROJECT NO. 2025-3-R

Recommendation 8	
Objective 1(c) - Deputy Tactics and Survival (TAS) Training	Status
Per the Settlement Agreement, AV Department members must attend TAS training also known as Lazer Village tactical firearms training biennially. It is recommended the AV Stations' Unit Commanders or their designees, ensure the training is conducted and documented. In addition, coordination with the Training Bureau is recommended to facilitate the requested training to occur in a central location for AV Station Department members.	
Response to Recommendation 8	
Status: <b>IMPLEMENTED</b> (Implemented, Not Implemented, In Progress)	
<p><b>Palmdale:</b>  <i>Palmdale deputies are sent by scheduling and training to any and all biennially training in the areas of Tactics and Survival (TAS), and firearms training, so that the station can meet the requirements of the DOJ and the Settlement Agreement.</i></p> <p><b>Lancaster:</b>  <i>Lancaster Station has implemented procedures to ensure compliance with the Settlement Agreement regarding TAS training. Lancaster Unit Commanders verify that Lancaster personnel complete the required biennial training and ensure attendance is properly documented. While Lazer Village is a specialized training facility, discussions are ongoing regarding how to provide a comparable level of tactical firearms training within a station setting to increase accessibility for Lancaster Station personnel.</i></p>	
Recommendations Implemented	<b>3</b>
Recommendations in Progress	<b>0</b>
Recommendations Not Implemented (Declined, Unable, Already Changed)	<b>0</b>
Total Recommendations	<b>3</b>

## **CONCLUSION**

The Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report highlighted the progress made in addressing the Comprehensive Review and Reassessment Report recommendations. Notable achievements included implementation of several key recommendations, while others are actively being addressed. Despite some delays, clear plans are in place to initiate and complete the remaining recommendations.

Continuous monitoring and dedicated efforts from all Department management will be essential to ensure all recommendations are fully implemented, thereby enhancing our overall compliance with the Agreement, risk management, and operational efficiency.

The AAB will continue to conduct Use of Force Audits in 2025 to uphold transparency and accountability, assess progress, and provide recommendations for ongoing improvement at the AV Stations. These efforts are crucial in assisting the AV Stations in meeting the goals of adhering to the Agreement and achieving the established compliance metrics. By systematically evaluating operational practices, the AAB is committed to fostering a culture of continuous improvement, ultimately enhancing the effectiveness and integrity of operations within the AV Stations.

### Views of Responsible Officials

On December 12, 2025, the AAB submitted the Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report to the Division Director, Office of Constitutional Policing.



12/15/2025

GEOFFREY N. CHADWICK      DATE  
Captain  
Audit and Accountability Bureau  
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department