Addendum

Stops and Detentions Audit East Patrol Division Project No. 2024-42-A

Station Sample Number	Summary
ALD/CVS-1	This stop involved a single-person unit accompanied by a civilian observer, conducting a traffic stop, with assistance from an additional unit. Per the MDC contact data the subject was stopped for having an expired registration. The MDC clearance narrative did not indicate the reason for the deputy stopping the subject. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy contacted the subject. The subject told the deputy she did not have an ID and was unlicensed. She also admitted to having a marijuana pipe. The deputy requested and received consent to search the interior of her vehicle. Prior to the vehicle search, the deputy conducted a pat down search of the subject and placed her in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The deputy proceeded to search the interior of the subject's vehicle; however, he deactivated his BWC during the search. The deputy and his assisting unit did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity involving the subject. Due to the early deactivation, auditors were unable to determine if the reason for the BSD was explained to the subject. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the deputy arrested, cited, and released the subject for driving without a valid driver's license and for lacking proof of valid registration. The deputy did not document the BSD of the subject or the justification of it in the MDC narrative. Additionally, the deputy inaccurately documented the reason for searching the vehicle in the MDC contact data, indicating the vehicle was not searched. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
ALD/CVS-2	This stop involved a single-person unit (Field Sergeant) conducting a bicycle stop, with additional assisting units. Per the MDC contact data, the Field Sergeant stopped the subject for riding a bicycle without proper lighting during hours of darkness.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the Sergeant contacted the subject and after receiving consent, conducted a search of the subject's person. Once assisting units arrived, the Sergeant placed the subject in the backseat of a patrol vehicle and told the other deputy that the subject had a warrant. After a short detention, it was discovered the subject could not be extradited to the jurisdiction from where the warrant originated. The subject was released at the scene. The Sergeant deactivated his BWC early and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity involving the subject.
	The Sergeant did not document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data or the justification for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
ALD/CVS-3	This stop involved a single-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop, with assistance from additional units. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC data or clearance narrative. Auditors confirmed, via the fact a URN/report number was documented in the MDC data, the deputy had cited and released the subject for the misdemeanor offense of drinking in public.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy activated his BWC after initiating contact with the subject. The deputy saw the subject sitting on a bench with two other individuals sitting next to him. The deputy approached the subject and began asking investigative questions without first stating the reason for the contact. After receiving consent, the deputy searched the subject's pockets. Although the deputy obtained consent to search the subject, the reason for the stop, valid under the law and LASD policy, was not articulated in the MDC clearance narrative.
	The deputy placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle and retrieved a tall can of "Bud Light" from the area where the subject had been seated. While the deputy was inside the patrol vehicle running the subject's record, and the subject was seated in the backseat of the patrol vehicle, the deputy deactivated his BWC and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity with the subject.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-1	This stop involved a single-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC narrative or MDC contact data. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy activated his BWC after initiating contact with the subject, and auditors did not observe the deputy stating the reason for the stop. The deputy conducted a search of the subject, placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle, and then deactivated his BWC before the enforcement and investigative activity concluded. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the subject was arrested for an outstanding felony warrant. Auditors confirmed via MDC records, the deputy had detailed knowledge of the warrant prior to exiting his vehicle and contacting the subject. LASD booking records also confirmed the subject was taken into custody for the warrant. Although the deputy received consent to search the subject, the reason for the stop, valid under the law and/or LASD policy, was not articulated in the MDC clearance narrative or contact data. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-2	This stop involved a single-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC data. The subject was ultimately cited and released for miscellaneous misdemeanors.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy activated of his BWC after initiating contact with the subject, and auditors did not observe the deputy stating the reason for the stop. Additionally, due to the late activation, the auditors did not observe the deputy receiving consent to search the subject's person or property. The subject informed the deputy he had narcotics paraphernalia and a knife in his possession. The deputy proceeded to search the subject's pockets and found narcotics paraphernalia. The deputy then placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle and searched the subject's bag, which also contained narcotics paraphernalia. The deputy deactivated his BWC after completing the search and did not continue recording the entirety of the investigative and enforcement activity.
	The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-3	This stop involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC narrative or contact data. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy explained to the subject he stopped him for operating an oversized vehicle. The subject gave his driver's license to the deputy. The deputy walked back to his patrol vehicle and began writing a citation for the subject. Just prior to the deputy exiting his patrol vehicle, he deactivated his BWC and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity with the subject. The deputy documented in the MDC contact data he conducted a consent search of the subject. The available BWC recording did not show the deputy removing the subject from the vehicle or any subsequent search. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the subject was stopped for having an expired vehicle registration. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), both deputies activated their BWC after initiating contact with the two subjects. Auditors did not observe the deputies stating the reason for the stop, which could've been missed as a result of the deputies' late BWC activations. The deputies removed both subjects from the vehicle. After searching both subjects, the deputies placed both subjects in the back of the patrol vehicle. Only one of the two subjects was given an explanation as to why they were placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. Both deputies deactivated their BWC prior to the conclusion of the investigative or enforcement activity. The deputies did not document a reason for the BSDs in the MDC narrative. The deputies also did not document a BSD occurred in the MDC contact data and failed to document any information regarding one of the two subjects. Additionally, the deputies failed to enter a SACR system entry for one of the two subjects. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings
	OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-5	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC narrative or MDC contact data. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), deputies contacted two subjects in a vehicle and told them they were stopped for an unsafe lane change. The deputies removed both subjects from the vehicle. Both subjects were searched without providing consent and placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without being provided an explanation for the BSD. The deputies received consent to search the vehicle. After the deputies conducted the search of the vehicle, both subjects were released at the scene. The deputies did not document a reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative. Although the subject consented to the vehicle search, the deputy did not properly document a consent search of the vehicle in the MDC clearance narrative. The MDC contact data also inaccurately documented the search of the subjects as a consent search despite the BWC recording showing no evidence consent was requested or obtained. Additionally, the deputies failed to document the BSDs for the two subjects in the MDC contact data. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-6	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC narrative or MDC contact data.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies began asking the subject investigative questions upon contact, prior to stating the reason for the stop. The subject told the deputies he did not have any identification. One of the deputies removed the subject from the vehicle. The subject was searched without providing consent and then placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle and told he needed to be identified. The subject's vehicle was searched with the subject's consent, but both deputies deactivated their BWCs early and did not record the remainder of the investigative or enforcement activity.
	Although the subject consented to the search of his vehicle, the deputy did not properly document the consent search of the vehicle in the MDC in which the MDC contact data did not indicate a reason for the vehicle search.
	The deputies did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC narrative, nor did they document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data.
	Additionally, the deputies inaccurately documented the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputies identified the search as a consent search, despite the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-7	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies contacted four subjects in a vehicle (Driver, front passenger, rear passenger-1 and rear passenger-2). The deputies did not activate their BWCs prior to initiating contact with the subjects and the recording did not capture any evidence the deputies explained the reason for the stop. All four subjects were told to exit the vehicle. Three of the subjects (driver, front passenger, and rear passenger-1) were told to sit down on a nearby curb. Rear passenger-2 was searched without providing consent. Rear passenger-2 was then placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without being provided an explanation for the BSD. Both deputies deactivated their BWCs early and did not record the remainder of the investigative or enforcement activity.
	The deputies did not accurately document the search of Rear Passenger-2 in the MDC contact data due to the deputies identified the search as a consent search, despite the BWC recordings did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Additionally, the deputies failed to properly document the BSD of the male subject in the MDC contact data and did not provide a reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative. Additionally, two of the four detained subjects were not documented in the MDC contact data, and only two of four were entered into the SACR system.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions
	OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Determions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the deputies stopped the subject for not stopping at a red light.
IDT-8	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies issued a warning to the subject for a traffic violation, after which he was released. At no point was the subject removed from the vehicle or searched. However, the MDC contact data inaccurately indicated a consent search occurred, which was improperly documented by the deputy.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-9	This stop involved a two-person unit contacting the subject during a traffic stop. The deputies did not document a reason for contacting the subject in the MDC narrative or the MDC contact data. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies activated their BWC after initiating contact with the subject. The late activation resulted in missed dialogue and auditors could not confirm if the deputy explained to the subject the reason for the stop or if the deputies received confirmation if the subject consented to a search. The deputies removed the subject from the vehicle and began searching his pockets. The deputies placed the subject into the back of their patrol vehicle without explaining to the subject a reason for the BSD. Both deputies deactivated their BWC after placing the subject in the backseat and did not record the remainder of the investigative or enforcement activity. The deputies did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data in which they identified the search as a consent search even though the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Additionally, the deputies did not accurately document the BSD of the subject and did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-10	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop of a subject on a bicycle. The deputies did not document a reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. Available BWC information indicated only one of two deputies activated their BWC after initiating contact with the subject. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the sole deputy who activated his BWC had a late activation upon contact with the subject. The late activation did not allow auditors to confirm if the subject consented to a search. Additionally, the late BWC activation did not allow auditors to confirm if the subject was told the reason for the stop before any investigative questioning by the deputies. The subject told the deputy he had narcotics in his possession. The deputy removed a methamphetamine pipe from the subject's pockets and placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. After the subject was placed in the backseat, the deputy deactivated his BWC and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-11	This stop involved a single-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop of two subjects, with an assisting unit. The deputy did not document a reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. The MDC clearance data also indicated a female subject was arrested for felony weapons charges.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy approached two subjects (male and female) in a public area near railroad tracks. The deputy began asking investigative questions upon contact and did not explain the reason for the stop. After a short conversation with the subjects, the deputy searched the male subject without receiving consent. The deputy then placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle. The female subject told the deputy she had a firearm in her backpack. The deputy recovered the firearm and placed the subject in the back of his patrol vehicle with the male subject.
	The deputies did not explain the reason for the BSD of the male subject in the MDC clearance narrative.
	The deputy did not accurately document the searches of both subjects in the MDC contact data in which the deputies identified the searches as consent searches when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. The search of the female subject appeared for other unknown reasons after her admission of a firearm in her possession.
	Additionally, the deputies did not accurately document the BSD of the male subject in the MDC contact data.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-12	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop of two subjects. The deputy did not document a reason for contacting the subjects in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy activated his BWC after initiating contact with the subjects and was observed speaking with two subjects in front of a convenience store. This late activation resulted in missed dialogue and auditors could not confirm if the deputy was approached by the subjects or if he initiated a contact that would require him to explain to the subjects the reason for the contact. The deputy deactivated his BWC twice for brief periods of time, resulting in additional missed dialogue between the deputy and the subjects. MDC records indicated the deputy had conducted warrant checks and for both subjects prior to conducting a search of each subject and placing them in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The MDC records confirmed the deputy knew both subjects had warrants. Per available BWC recordings, auditors observed the deputy cite and released one subject and informed the other subject he would be arrested and booked for a narcotics offense at the conclusion of the stop. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subjects in the MDC contact data in which the deputy identified the searches as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-13	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. MDC records indicated the subject was ultimately arrested, cited and released for various misdemeanor offenses. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), when the deputy initially activated his BWC, he was contacting a male subject walking in the street. There was no evidence the deputy explained to the subject the reason for the stop. The deputy told the subject to drop a large stick he had in his possession after exiting his patrol vehicle. The deputy approached the subject and told him he was going to search him for weapons. The subject agreed to the search and told the deputy he had a knife in his possession. During the search, the deputy recovered narcotics paraphernalia, in which the subject immediately acknowledged it was his. After recovering the paraphernalia, the deputy placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle. The deputy deactivated his BWC without recording the conclusion of the investigative or enforcement activity. Although the subject consented to a search, the deputy did not articulate a valid reason for the stop under the law and/or LASD policy for initially having stopped the subject in the MDC clearance information. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
IDT-14	This incident involved a deputy coordinating a felony traffic stop. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the subject was followed by a pursuing deputy and ultimately detained and arrested for driving a stolen vehicle. Sub-Objectives with Findings:
	OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-1	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a bicycle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance narrative deputies stopped the subject who was operating a bicycle on and off of the sidewalk. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies began asking investigative questions upon contact and did not state the reason for the stop. One deputy began removing items from the subject's pockets. There was no evidence the deputies requested or obtained consent to search the subject. Additionally, auditors also observed the deputy placing the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without explaining to him the reason he was being placed in BSD. After a short detention, the subject was released. The deputies did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the search was identified as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. The deputies did not document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data or the reason for placing the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle in the MDC clearance narrative. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-2	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop with two subjects (Driver subject and Passenger Subject). The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the deputies issued a warning to one of the subjects for having an expired registration.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies contacted two subjects in a vehicle. The driver subject told the deputy he did not have a driver's license in his possession. The deputy told the subject to exit the vehicle and began searching his pockets. The subject did not provide consent to be searched. The deputy then placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle without providing an explanation for the BSD. Deputies then removed the passenger subject from the vehicle, searched him with his consent, and placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without providing an explanation for the BSD. After the deputies searched the vehicle, both deactivated their BWCs before the conclusion of the investigative and enforcement activity.
	The MDC contact data did not accurately document the search of the driver subject in the MDC in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Although the passenger subject consented to a search, the MDC clearance information did not provide a valid reason for the stop under the law and/or LASD policy for initially having stopped the subjects. The deputies did not document the BSDs of both subjects in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSDs in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-3	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop, with assisting units. After a short detention, the subjects were released at the scene.
	Per MDC data and BWC recording(s), the deputy stopped two subjects in a vehicle because the female driver was identified in a suspected felony crime. The felony crime was a criminal case being investigated by the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD). An LAPD detective relayed to the deputy the vehicle was no longer wanted for seizure. The deputies made some inquiries and determined the subjects were not wanted for a crime and released them at the location.
	The traffic stop was treated as a felony traffic stop, and two subjects were detained and held at gunpoint until they were handcuffed by assisting deputies. An assisting deputy approached the female subject and conducted a search of her person and removed an unknown object without her permission. The deputy explained the reason for the detention and BSD and placed the female subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle.
	A male subject was also detained during the stop. The deputies searched him and placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle.
	The deputy did not document a reason for the BSDs in the MDC clearance narrative. The MDC clearance information did not accurately document the search of the female subject in the MDC in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Additionally, the deputy did not properly document the BSD of the female subject in the MDC clearance. Lastly, the deputy did not document a SACR system or MDC entry for the male subject.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-4	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a bicycle stop. The deputy indicated a reason for stopping the subject in the MDC clearance narrative, but due to the inaccurate municipal code used to document the stop, auditors deemed the reason to be insufficient.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), one of the deputies approached the subject and told him they stopped him for riding a bicycle in a reckless manner. One of the deputies initiated a search of his person. The subject did not consent to the search.
	The deputies placed the subject in the back of the patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD. After an MDC inquiry of the subject's identification, the deputies gave the subject a warning and released him at the scene.
	During the incident, one of the deputies deactivated his BWC and did not record the remainder of the enforcement and investigative activity.
	The deputy did not indicate a reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative and did not accurately document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data. The deputy also did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-5	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop. The deputies stopped the subject for driving with an expired registration. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies approached the subject's vehicle and told him the reason for the stop. The deputies issued the subject a citation for the traffic violation and released him from the scene. The deputy documented in the MDC data that a consent search occurred, however, the subject was never removed from the vehicle nor searched. Sub-Objectives with Findings:
	OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-6	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The subject was cited and released for a misdemeanor narcotics offense. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies stopped the subject for walking in the street in an unsafe manner. Upon contact, the deputy began asking investigative questions and did not provide a reason for the stop until the subject asked why the deputy wanted to search him. The deputy did not document the reason for contact in the MDC clearance narrative. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-7	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative. The subject was ultimately arrested for misdemeanor possession of narcotics. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), one of the deputies did not activate his BWC at the initiation of the contact. The deputies began asking investigative questions upon contact prior to stating the reason for the stop. The deputies told the subject he was jaywalking before they began searching his pockets and placed his items on top of the patrol vehicle. There was no evidence the subject consented to the search. During the search of the subject, one of the deputies told him he was under arrest. Auditors observed on the BWC recording the initial searching deputy recover what appeared to be narcotics. Auditors concluded the recovered narcotics were likely to have been recovered during the initial search. The subject was then placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. An inquiry into LASD booking records showed the subject was transported to the nearby San Dimas station for booking. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-8	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), two deputies stopped a bicyclist in a shopping center parking lot. One of the deputies approached the subject and told him he was riding his bicycle in an unlawful manner. One of the deputies received consent to search the subject and placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The subject was never told the reason why he was placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. Both deputies deactivated their BWC early and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity with the subject. The deputy did not accurately document the BSD in the MDC contact data. Additionally, the deputy did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completenees of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 5(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-9	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), both deputies activated their BWCs after initiating contact with the subject. The deputies told the subject they stopped him for loitering and obtained consent to search him. The deputies determined he was not involved in committing a crime and released him at the location. However, both deputies deactivated their BWC early while unhandcuffing the subject and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity with the subject. Sub-Objectives with Findings:
	OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-10	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies conducted a pedestrian stop of the subject in front of a convenience store for loitering. The deputies initiated a search of his person. The subject never consented to a search of his person. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence a consent search occurred. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response
	OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-11	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the subject was lying on the grass in front of a fast-food establishment. The deputies approached the subject and told him they contacted him for loitering and littering. After obtaining consent to search the subject, the deputies placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without giving him an explanation of the reason for the BSD. After the deputies placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle, both deputies deactivated their BWC prior to the conclusion of the investigative and enforcement activity. The deputy did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC narrative. Additionally, the deputy did not accurately document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a stop of two pedestrians. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subjects in the MDC clearance narrative. The subjects were ultimately given a warning for littering and released at the scene.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies contacted a male and female (subjects) sitting near a vacant property. The deputies told the subjects they contacted them for loitering. One of the deputies approached the male subject and began searching him after obtaining consent. The deputy then placed the male subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD. Another deputy approached the female and began searching her belongings. The female did not consent to a search of her property. The female subject was not placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle.
	The deputies did not accurately document the reason for the BSD of the male subject in the MDC clearance narrative.
SDM-12	The deputies did not accurately document the search of the female subject in the MDC contact data in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Additionally, the deputies did not accurately document the BSD of the male subject in the MDC contact data. Lastly, the deputies documented only one of two subjects in the SACR system.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
SDM-13	This stop involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop. The deputies did not document the reason for contacting the subject in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies began asking investigative questions upon contact without stating the reason for the stop. The subject was holding an alcoholic beverage in his hands. The deputies approached the subject and began searching him. After the subject was searched, the deputies told him he was going to be cited for the offense of drinking in public. The deputies then placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle, and both deputies deactivated their BWC early, failing to record the entire investigative and enforcement activity with the subject before the subject was released from the patrol vehicle. The MDC contact data did not accurately document the search of the subject in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. A check of the SACR system confirmed the deputies did not document their contact with the subject. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of two subjects. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputies stopped the subjects for having no lights on during hours of darkness and for expired registration.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies began asking investigative questions upon contact and did not state the reason for the stop. One of the deputies contacted the subject driver, who told him he was on parole. The deputy removed the subject driver from the vehicle and then told him he was going to conduct a parole check of the vehicle. The deputy conducted a search of the subject's person and placed him in the backseat of his patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD. After a search of the subject's vehicle, the deputies determined there was no evidence of a crime and released all the subjects at the scene.
SDM-14	One of the deputies deactivated his BWC early while the subjects were still sitting on the curb and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity.
	Additionally, the deputies did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative or document the BSD of the parolee subject in the MDC contact data.
	Lastly, only two of three subjects were documented in both the MDC contact data and SACR system.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-1	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject for expired vehicle registration. After a brief detention, the subject was given a verbal warning for the traffic violation and released at the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy did not activate his BWC prior to initiating contact with the subject, which resulted in missed dialogue. As a result, the auditors could not confirm if the deputy explained the reason for stopping the subject.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) – Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) – Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773)

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-2	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a bicycle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject for riding his bicycle on the sidewalk. The subject was eventually released at the scene.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy did not activate his BWC prior to initiating contact with the subject, which resulted in missed dialogue. As a result, the auditors could not confirm if the deputy explained the reason for the stop.
	Due to the late BWC activation, the auditors also could not determine if the subject consented to the search of his person. The subject was already seated on the curb upon the deputy's BWC activation. Auditors also observed the deputy placing the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle without providing an explanation for the BSD. The deputy deactivated his BWC and did not record the remainder of the investigative and enforcement activity with the subject.
	The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Lastly, the deputy did not document the reason for the BSD of the subject in the MDC and did not accurately document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-3	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop of one subject. The MDC clearance information indicated the deputies stopped the subject for suspicious activity. After conducting MDC inquiries, the deputies left the location where the subject was last contacted. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies never exited the patrol vehicle or made physical contact with the subject. The deputies asked the subject for information regarding his identity and conducted an MDC inquiry without stating a reason for contacting him. The deputy also documented a consent search in the MDC contact data when no search occurred during the incident.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-4	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject for driving during darkness without headlights. The subject was subsequently warned for the violation and released at the scene.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy conducted a traffic stop and did not search the subject.
	The deputy documented a consent search of the subject in the MDC contact data when no search occurred during the incident.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-5	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject for driving during darkness without headlights. The subject was subsequently warned for the violation and released at the scene.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy conducted a traffic stop and did not search the subject.
	The deputy documented a consent search of the subject in the MDC contact data when no search occurred during the incident.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-6	This incident involved a single-person unit with assisting units conducting a vehicle stop involving four subjects. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject driver for failing to come to a complete stop at a stop sign. The subject driver was ultimately cited and released for misdemeanor narcotics violations, and the male subject passenger suspected of having an outstanding warrant was released (not arrested). Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy conducted a traffic stop involving four subjects (one male and three females) inside of a vehicle. The deputy removed all four subjects from the vehicle. The deputy conducted a pat down search of the male passenger subject only. The deputy received consent from the driver (female subject) to search the interior of her vehicle. The deputy recovered narcotics from the interior of the vehicle. Although the deputy received consent to search the vehicle, the reason for the stop, valid under the law and/or LASD policy, was not articulated in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. The deputy told the male subject he had a warrant for his arrest and placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The remaining three occupants were also placed in the backseat of the assisting deputy's patrol vehicle without being given a reason for the BSD. Additionally, the deputy did not document the reason for the BSDs on the MDC clearance narrative. Both deputies deactivated their BWCs during the investigation. Although the initial investigating deputy resumed recording the incident, the assisting deputy did not reactivate of his BWC and remained at the stop for
	several minutes. The initial deputy also deactivated his BWC several times for unknown reasons during his contact with the subjects. Only one of four subjects seen in the BWC recordings was documented in the MDC contact data and SACR system. Lastly, the deputy did not indicate an MDC search authority code to document the search of the vehicle, even though the BWC footage showed the deputy received consent to search the vehicle. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	
TEM-7	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a bicycle stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. The subject was eventually cited for narcotics and released at the scene.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy told the subject she did not have proper lighting on her bicycle during hours of darkness. He asked her if she had anything illegal in her possession. The subject told the deputy she had narcotics in her pockets. The deputy removed the narcotics and placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC clearance narrative, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search rather than an apparent search incident to arrest when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-8	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. After a brief investigation into the subject's identity and license plate information the subject was given a verbal warning and released at the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the auditors did not observe the deputy inform the subject the reason for the stop. The subject informed the deputy she did not have her driver's license or any form of identification in her possession. The deputy told the subject to exit her vehicle and placed her in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The deputy did not conduct a search of the subject's person. As the deputy placed the subject in the backseat, he informed her that he needed to identify her, and he also explained her vehicle license plate information was suspicious. The deputy did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative and did not accurately document the BSD in the MDC data. The deputy proceeded to search the interior of the subject's vehicle. Auditors did not observe on BWC recordings the deputy requesting or obtaining consent to search the subject's vehicle. The scope of the search extended to more than a search for identification. The deputy did not provide a reason for the deputies searching the subject's vehicle in the MDC contact data and did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response
	OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-9	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a bicycle stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy approached the subject and did not explain the reason for the stop. He conducted a search of the subject's person. The subject did not provide consent prior to the
	search. After an inquiry into the subject's identity, the subject was given a verbal warning for having proper lighting on his bicycle during hours of darkness and released at the scene. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy
	identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings:
	OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773)
	OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported
	OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
	This incident involved a single-person unit engaging in contact with the subject. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the deputy engaged in a consensual encounter,
TEM-10	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy activated her BWC after initiating contact with the subject. When the BWC was activated, the deputy was seated in her patrol vehicle speaking with the subject who was standing beside her. The deputy asked the subject for her identification information and conducted an inquiry using the MDC. After completing the inquiry, the deputy ended her contact with the subject.
	The deputy incorrectly documented a consent search of the subject and BSD in the MDC contact data when no search or BSD occurred.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-11	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy told the subject he stopped him for not using his turn signal properly. After receiving consent to search the subject, he placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. After the deputy placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle, the deputy conducted some inquiries related to the subject and deactivated his BWC before the conclusion of the enforcement and investigative activity. Although the deputy received consent to search the subject, the reason for the stop, valid under the law and/or LASD policy, was not articulated in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. The deputy also did not document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative. Lastly, the deputy did not enter the subject's information into the SACR system. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-12	This incident involved a two-person unit who conducted a vehicle stop with two subjects. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the deputies stopped the driver subject for having obscured license plates. The vehicle also had an adult female front passenger subject. The MDC clearance narrative also indicated the driver subject was given a verbal warning and released at the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the driver subject informed the deputy he did not have his driver's license in his possession. The deputy removed him from the vehicle and searched him after obtaining consent. The driver subject was then placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The deputy explained the reason for the BSD as he was placed in the backseat. The second deputy ordered the passenger subject out of the vehicle and conducted a pat-down search. During the pat-down and without obtaining consent, the deputy retrieved an item from the passenger subject's front pocket. After the search, the passenger subject was detained at a nearby curbside. The deputies did not document the reason for the BSD of both subjects in the MDC clearance narrative or in the MDC contact data.
	The deputies did not document the passenger subject's information in the MDC contact data and the SACR system.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) – Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
	This incident involved a single-person unit (primary deputy) and an assisting deputy conducting a vehicle stop with three occupants (subjects). The primary deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy stopped the driver subject for having an expired vehicle registration. However, the deputy did not state the reason for the stop prior to asking the subject an investigatory question. In addition to the driver subject, the vehicle had a male passenger subject and a female passenger subject. All three subjects were removed from the vehicle and the deputy conducted consent searches of both the driver subject and the male passenger subject. The deputy obtained consent from the driver subject and searched the vehicle.
TEM 12	Both the driver subject and the male passenger subject were placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle and were explained the reasons for their BSDs. The female passenger subject who was detained at the front bumper of a patrol vehicle and monitored by the assisting deputy was found to have an outstanding warrant and was subsequently arrested before any searches or placed in a BSD.
TEM-13	The primary deputy did not document the BSD of one of the three subjects in the MDC data field and did not provide the reasons for the BSDs in the MDC clearance narrative for the driver subject and the male passenger subject. Although the deputy obtained consent to search the vehicle, the consent search was not documented in the MDC contact data as the reason for the vehicle search.
	This incident was not fully recorded due to early deactivation of the BWC by both deputies. Lastly, only one of three subjects' contact information was documented in the MDC contact data and the SACR system.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-14	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. The reason for the stop was not documented in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy stopped the subject for having an expired vehicle registration. The subject was eventually cited for unlawful possession of pepper spray (Felony) and driving without a license and was released at the scene. Sub-Objectives with Findings:
	OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-15	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject for an expired vehicle registration. The subject was eventually given a verbal warning for the violation and released at the scene.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the subject informed the deputy he did not have his driver's license. The deputy removed the subject from the vehicle and conducted a weapons pat-down search. The deputy then placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without providing an explanation for the BSD.
	The deputy then searched the subject's vehicle without requesting or obtaining consent. After a brief detention, the deputy abruptly concluded the investigation and released the subject after giving him a verbal warning for the traffic violations.
	The deputy incorrectly documented a consent search of the subject's person in the MDC contact data, although the search should have been documented as a weapons pat-down.
	The deputy inaccurately documented the search of the vehicle in the MDC contact data as a consent search, although the BWC recording did not show any evidence a consent search of a vehicle occurred.
	Additionally, the deputy did not document the BSD in the MDC contact data nor document a reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-16	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputy stopped the subject for failing to stop at a red traffic light. The subject was eventually given a verbal warning for the traffic violation and released at the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), both deputies had a delayed activation of their BWCs at the initiation of the stop. The handling deputy asked the subject an investigatory question prior to stating the reason for the stop. The deputies conducted an inquiry into the subject's identity, but the subject was neither searched nor removed from his vehicle. The deputies incorrectly documented a consent search of the subject in the MDC contact data, even though no search occurred. Both deputies deactivated their BWCs before the conclusion of the enforcement or investigative activity. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773)
	OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-17	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. After a brief detention, the subject was cited for a misdemeanor traffic violation and released at the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy stopped the subject for an expired vehicle registration. During the stop, the subject informed the deputy he did not have a driver's license in his possession. The deputy then removed the subject from the vehicle to confirm his identity. The deputy received consent to search both the subject and the vehicle. Although the subject consented to both searches, the reason for the stop, valid under the law and/or LASD policy, was not articulated in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. Additionally, the deputy did not document a reason for the vehicle search in the MDC contact data, despite the subject providing consent as recorded on the BWC.
	The auditors also noted the deputy explained the reason for the BSD before placing the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. However, the deputy did not document the BSD in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Summary
person unit conducting a motorcycle stop of one subject. Per the MDC uty stopped the subject for having a missing license plate. The subject was violation and released at the scene.
ording(s), the deputy asked the subject investigatory questions upon initial eason for the stop.
ct's person with his consent and placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle for the BSD. The deputy did not document the BSD in the MDC contact data or MDC clearance narrative.
S:
Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) lation of Backseat Detentions MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-19	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. After a brief investigation, the deputy recovered the narcotics in the subject's vehicle and subsequently arrested him for felony possession of narcotics.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the subject informed the deputy he had narcotics in his possession upon contact. The deputy told the subject to exit the vehicle and searched his pockets. After the search of the subject's person, the deputy placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for BSD. The deputy also conducted a search of the subject's vehicle and discovered narcotics inside of the vehicle.
	The deputy also deactivated his BWC more than once during the investigation, resulting in missing footage of the deputy's full interaction with the subject.
	The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject's person and his vehicle in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified both searches as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	The deputy did not document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-20	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance data, the deputy stopped the subject for having a fixed object hanging from the windshield mirror. The deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. Additionally, per the MDC clearance narrative, the subject was ultimately given a warning and released from the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy did not explain to the subject the reason for the stop upon contacting the subject. The deputy removed the subject from the vehicle and searched the subject's pockets without receiving consent. However, the deputy did receive consent to search the subject's vehicle before searching it. The deputy placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD. The deputy deactivated his BWC before the conclusion of the enforcement and investigative activity. The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject's person or his vehicle in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search. The deputy also did not document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-21	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC narrative. The subject was ultimately arrested for a felony narcotics violation.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies did not inform the subject of the reason for the stop and began inquiring about his identity. The subject stated he did not have a driver's license. After conducting a consent search of the subject's person, the deputies placed him in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD. After placing the subject in BSD, the deputies proceeded to search the subject's vehicle without obtaining consent from the subject.
	The deputies did not document the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative.
	The deputy incorrectly documented the vehicle search as a consent search in the MDC contact data, despite there being no evidence on BWC that consent for the search of the vehicle was given.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-22	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop with two occupants. However, the deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy stopped the driver subject for an expired vehicle registration. The driver subject told the deputy he had a suspended driver's license. The deputy told the driver subject to exit the vehicle and began searching his pockets. However, the auditors did not observe the deputy requesting or obtaining consent to search the subject driver's person on the BWC recording. During the search, the subject driver told the deputy he had narcotics in the vehicle. The deputy placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD. The deputy then told the passenger subject to exit the vehicle. Without searching the passenger subject, the deputy instructed her to stand aside while he searched the vehicle. The deputy searched the vehicle and recovered narcotics from the vehicle interior. After retrieving the narcotics, the deputy placed the passenger subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without providing her with an explanation for the BSD. There was also a short period during the incident when the deputy's BWC was deactivated, resulting in missed dialogue with both subjects. After a short detention the driver subject was field arrested for possession of narcotics. The deputy did not accurately document the search of both subjects and the vehicle in the MDC contact data, in which the deputy identified the searches as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the searches. The deputy also did not document the BSDs for both subjects in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSDs in the MDC clearance narrative. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response
	OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-23	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop of one subject. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. The subject was cited for misdemeanor possession of narcotics and for having an outstanding warrant. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies approached the subject and informed him they were aware he had an outstanding warrant for his arrest. Auditors confirmed, through the available MDC records, the deputies were aware of the warrant prior to making contact with him. During the search, the deputies recovered narcotics from the subject's pockets. The deputies then placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. Auditors noted on the BWC recording that one of the deputies deactivated their BWC before the conclusion of the investigative and enforcement activity. The MDC clearance information did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC in which the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-24	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a pedestrian stop involving three subjects. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative or MDC contact data. One subject was cited for misdemeanor narcotics violations.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies approached three subjects, who were seated on the ground. One of the deputies began asking investigative questions to the subjects without stating the reason for the contact. The first male subject (Subject-1) consented to a search of his person. The remaining two pedestrians: a second male (Subject-2) and a female (Subject-3) also seated on the ground near Subject-1, had their possessions searched by the deputy, but they did not consent to the searches. The deputy searched Subject-1's person who was found to be in possession of narcotics and was placed in the backseat of the patrol vehicle. The other two subjects remained detained without being placed in BSD. While viewing the BWC recordings, the auditors observed one of the two deputies activated his BWC significantly late into the subjects' detention which resulted in an incomplete BWC recording.
	Of the three subjects detained, Subject-1 and Subject-2 were documented in the MDC data. The deputies did not accurately document the search of Subject-2 in which the deputies documented a consent search occurred when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that Subject-2 consented to a search. All three subjects were correctly entered into the SACR system. However, the deputies did not enter the contact data for Subject-3 in the MDC contact data.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative OBJECTIVE 5(c) - Accuracy of SACR Contact Data

Station Sample Number	Summary
TEM-25	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of two subjects: a male driver subject and a female passenger subject. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputies told the driver subject they stopped him for driving with an expired vehicle registration. During the stop, the passenger subject informed the deputies she was on probation. The deputies did not verify the passenger subject's probation search conditions prior to searching the contents of her purse. One of the deputies asked the driver subject for consent to search his vehicle, however, the driver subject's response was unclear due to background noise.
	The deputies incorrectly documented the search of the passenger subject's possessions as a condition of probation when there was no evidence that the deputies verified probation search conditions prior to the search. Additionally, the deputy did not document a reason to search the vehicle in the MDC contact data.
	The deputies placed both subjects in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without providing an explanation for the BSD. The deputy did not document the correct contact type codes for the BSDs for both subjects in the MDC contact data or the reason for the BSDs in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 3(a) - Knowledge of Probation or Parole Search Conditions OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
WAL-1	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance information, the deputies stopped the subject for a failure to stop at a traffic light. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy summoned an interpreter via his cell phone because the subject did not speak English. Through the interpreter the deputy asked the subject if he had a driver's license and other investigative questions before stating the reason for the stop. The subject told the deputy he did not have a driver's license. The deputy placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle after he told the subject he was, "checking some stuff." The BSD reason provided appeared matter of course in which the subject was not given any specific reason for the BSD and did not meet the criteria for the objective. The deputy did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative or the correct contact type code for the BSD in the MDC contact data. In addition, the deputy did not accurately document the vehicle search in the MDC contact data. Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported

Station Sample Number	Summary
WAL-2	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. However, the deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. Per the MDC clearance narrative, the subject was warned for a vehicle code violation, cited for an outstanding warrant, and released at the scene. Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy had a late activation of his BWC. The deputy told the subject he stopped him for having tinted windows. The deputy placed the subject in the backseat of his patrol vehicle without providing an explanation for the BSD. The deputy did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative or the correct contact type code for the BSD of the subject in the MDC contact data. Lastly, the deputy incorrectly documented a consent search of the subject in the MDC contact data when no search beyond the scope of a weapons pat down occurred.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(a) - Proper Activation of Body Worn Camera OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
WAL-3	This incident involved a two-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC clearance data, the deputies stopped the subject for expired vehicle registration. The deputies did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative. Additionally, per the MDC clearance, the subject's vehicle was stored/impounded and subsequently searched pursuant to the impound.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the auditors observed deputies contacting the subject and asking investigative questions prior to stating the reason for the stop. The subject told the deputies she did not have a driver's license. The deputies told the subject to exit the vehicle and searched the subject's pockets without the subject's consent. Following the search, the deputies placed the subject in the backseat of the patrol vehicle without explaining the reason for the BSD to her.
	Lastly, the auditors noted several unaccounted minutes of BWC recording during the subject's detention due to BWC deactivations. Both deputies deactivated their BWCs throughout the incident without reason.
	The deputy did not accurately document the search of the subject in the MDC contact data, as the deputy identified the search as a consent search when the BWC recording did not show any evidence that consent was requested or obtained from the subject prior to the search.
	In addition, the deputies did not document the reason for the BSD in the MDC clearance narrative or the correct contact type code for the BSD in the MDC contact data.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 1(b) - Stating the Reason for the Stop (AB 2773) OBJECTIVE 1(c) - Completeness of BWC Recordings OBJECTIVE 2(a) - Consent Searches Request and Response OBJECTIVE 4(a) - Explanation of Backseat Detentions to Subjects OBJECTIVE 4(b) - MDC Articulation of Backseat Detentions OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative

Station Sample Number	Summary
WAL-4	This incident involved a single-person unit conducting a vehicle stop of one subject. Per the MDC contact data, the deputy stopped the subject driver for having an obscured and a missing license plate. However, the deputy did not document the reason for the stop in the MDC clearance narrative.
	Auditors observed on BWC recording(s), the deputy contacted the subject and retrieved her driver's license. Upon conducting an inquiry regarding her identification information, the deputy confirmed her probation status. The subject stated she had search conditions related to her probation and was searched pursuant to her probation conditions. The deputy also searched the subject's vehicle and found narcotics paraphernalia. The deputy did not document the vehicle search in the MDC contact data due to the deputy documented that a vehicle search did not occur when in fact the deputy searched the vehicle after verifying probation search conditions.
	Sub-Objectives with Findings: OBJECTIVE 5(a) - Accuracy of MDC Contact Data Reported
	OBJECTIVE 5(b) - Documentation of Reason for Contact in the MDC Narrative