

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

**ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)**

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
1.	RFP 637-SH, 7.7.6 Executive Summary (Section A), d. Copies of business licenses, permits, and fictitious business name filings, as applicable.	Could you please confirm whether the requirement applies to business licenses for all cities within Los Angeles County or just for the City of Los Angeles?	Please provide copies of all applicable business licenses, permits, and fictitious business name filings.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
2.	<p>This question pertains to LSBE requirements. The following section on page 14 of the RFP, requires the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6.2 Local Small Business Enterprise (LSBE) Preference Program • 6.2.3 Businesses requesting the LSBE preference must complete and submit Exhibit 3 (Request for Preference Consideration) of Appendix B (Required Forms) to this RFP and submit their LSBE certification approval letter ("Certification for Federally Funded Solicitations") from the DCBA with their proposal. 	<p>A potential LSBE for the RFP confirmed with the Small Business Counselor, Office of Small Business, County of Los Angeles, Department of Economic Opportunity, and was informed that LA County no longer issues LSBE certification approval letters from the DCBA. The LSBE has an email confirming this as well as an email approval for LSBE Certification. Will the email confirmation and approval for LSBE Certification suffice in lieu of the LSBE certification approval letter, which is no longer issued?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
3.	<p>Bulletin #3, Attachment 1 User Count Questions and Answers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11, 21, 22, 23, 24 	<p>Multiple questions and answers within Bulletin #3, Attachment 1, seem in conflict regarding overall user counts and how many users require access to which system as well as NCIC query access and customized dashboards / analytical reporting creation. (For example, Q&A #11 indicates 19,000 users require RMS access, but Q&A #21 indicates approx. 5,000 (sworn and civilian) RMS users; sworn and civilian counts also vary between Q&A #21 and Q&A #22; Q&A #23 indicates 4,000 – 7,000 sworn users need access to perform JDIC/NCIC/CLETS/Countywide Database queries; #24 indicates 100s of users need to create customized dashboards and analytical/statistical reports, etc. while the entire Sheriff's Department and the public should have "view" access).</p> <p>Could the agency please confirm the total number of users - both sworn and civilian - that will require access to the RMS? A clear and definitive count is necessary in order to provide accurate pricing.</p>	<p>The County's response depends on how proposer licenses "user" counts.</p> <p>Max concurrent users: (300 old system, 3000 anticipated new)</p> <p>Max total possible users: high-water mark for named-users who could possibly login (e.g. even the once a year logins decide to all log in) 5000 (old system), but 1000 change every year – out with the old users (retire), in with the new users);</p> <p>Max number of possible computers: with software/network access to RMS (4000 desktops in buildings + 7000 MDC laptops in cars); max Crime Analysts (100); max sworn (9000).</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
4.	NCIC Queries, Bulletin #3, Attachment 1, Question and Answer #23, pertaining to RFP Exhibit A SOW, Attachment A.4 – RMS System Interfaces – Paragraph 2.0 JDIC/NCIC/CLETS/CO UNTYWIDE DATABASES	Building on the previous Q&A response, the agency indicated that approximately 4,000-7,000 sworn users will need access to query NCIC. However, it appears the total sworn user count for the agency exceeds 7,000. Could you clarify whether remaining sworn users will also require NCIC query capabilities? If so, could you confirm the total number of sworn users who will need this access?	<p>The number of sworn users who might use an NCIC query, even once, during a year is estimated to be about 7k; and about another 1k for civilians doing an NCIC query.</p> <p>Max theoretical sworn count based on budgeted positions: 10,446</p> <p>Average max sworn count (current 2024): 8835</p> <p>Average yearly sworn users of RMS (anticipated): 7000</p> <p>Theoretical max sworn users: 8835 to 10,446 (if all positions funded, hired)</p>
5.	Bulletin #3, Attachment #1, Q&A #12 - Training	Building on the previous Q&A response, the agency expressed interest in a combination of Train the Trainer and End-User training approaches. Could the agency please clarify the number of employees who would participate as trainers in the Train the Trainer model? Additionally, could you confirm the total number of end-users requiring training?	<p>The total number for the train-the-trainer will be approximately 10-20 trainers from the Department.</p> <p>All end users will require training (approximately 8,000).</p>
6.	Business licenses / confirmation of location of services	Can the agency please confirm the specific location(s) where they expect title transfers of goods or installation services related to the RFP to occur? This is necessary to determine that all necessary business licenses are secured.	Installation or training services will occur at approximately 50 buildings located throughout Los Angeles County.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
7.	RFP – 7.7.8.2 – SOW (Section C2) – “The County would prefer to have all data converted to the new system...”	Regarding data conversion from your current custom environment, can you describe and provide more information about the current database structure or format?	Current database environment for current, home-grown (over 25 years): Oracle 19c relational RDBMS consisting of over 250 tables (everything alpha-numeric – there are no blobs). Approx 2 million total events/incidents, each having one or more persons (victim, subject, witness, registered owner, etc.); addresses; report-forms (e.g., first report, supplementals, etc.) with each of those having ID/ demographic/contact info, crime factors (entry point, etc.); property/evidence items (e.g., stolen/recovered); charge/crime; status; dispositions; etc.
8.	Exhibit A – SOW – Paragraph 1.2.5	What is the average number of hours it takes an officer to complete a report using the existing workflow (written by hand or typed on a workstation) for each of the following report types? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Domestic Violence 2. Crime Complaint 3. Supplement Reports 4. Blue Cards 5. DUI 6. Felony Arrest 	This depends on the type of report and how many calls for service the deputy receives that shift.
9.	Exhibit A – SOW – Paragraph 1.2.5	What is the average number of hours it takes clerical staff to then hand-enter the reports into the existing RMS system? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Domestic Violence 2. Crime Complaint 3. Supplement Reports 4. Blue Cards 5. DUI 6. Felony Arrest 	Estimated average of 3-8 per hour (variables include complexity of report, charges, property, participants, etc.)

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
10.	Exhibit A – SOW – Paragraph 1.2.5	What is the total number of clerical staff hand-entering the reports into the existing RMS?	Varies depending on the station size, approximately 3-12 per location.
11.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, System Technical Requirements Item: 42 The Solution complies with the Department's antivirus software.	What antivirus software is the agency currently using?	<p>For desktops: Trellix (aka McAfee) Endpoint Security plus Cisco Secure Client.</p> <p>For the mobile devices: The County does not use an Anti-Virus. Devices will be managed based on the type of device. For the majority of administrative phones, they are managed via MS Intune. For our Bodyworn-Camera-equipped personnel, all of the Android phones are managed using Samsungs KNOX platform.</p>
12.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 291 The Solution generates a memo based upon feedback provided by the investigations supervisor.	Can the agency please clarify their definition of a "memo" for this particular requirement?	<p>A synopsis of the feedback provided by supervisor.</p> <p>The intent is to have a supervisor communicate back and forth with an investigator on how to improve/write the report. Could be via email, notice or messaging within system, etc.</p>
13.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Items: 39 The Solution supports boolean operators when setting alerts (e.g., T-Mobile or Tmobile). 40 The Solution supports wildcards when setting alerts.	Can the agency please provide further clarification on these two items? What is the use case scenario for these?	The system would allow for an alert to not be dependent on exact spelling. For example, if someone were to enter "TMobile" into a report but the alerting is set for "T-Mobile", the person setting alert would still be notified. This is used for investigative purposes.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
14.	<p>Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Items 128, and 128a-128k</p> <p>128The Solution allows user to generate CFS reports using any combination of the following:</p> <p>128a Involved unit(s) 128b Employee(s) number(s) 128c Incident number (Tag number) 128d Call type (radio codes and clearance codes) 128e Location 128f Station responsible 128g Date/time range 128h Clearance code 128i Reporting district 128j Reporting party 128k Reporting party phone number</p>	<p>Can the agency please provide further clarification on these requirements? Is the agency expecting users to be able to manually generate calls for service within the RMS? Or is the intent for CFS to be created with CAD and then CFS data imported into the RMS?</p>	<p>These are referencing CFS reports, not actual calls-for-service. The CFS will be created in CAD; the expectation is that all CFS ultimately end up in the RMS where users can then run reports on that information.</p> <p>Additional information: many, but not all CFS may end up in RMS (e.g. call for service ends up in no contact and/or no crime). But, if a CAD call does end up as an event/incident in the RMS – want to be able to link between systems (or copy relevant info – CAD call #, person info, etc.) to RMS and then query/search the RMS with some elements of the search based on some of the CAD-call-originated info.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
15.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Items: 313 The Solution only allows the report author to modify data from a search operation. 314 The Solution provides an audit trail of all modifications to a search operation file.	Can the agency please provide more context on what the user is trying to achieve with these features? What is considered a "search operation file"?	This requirement is written based on current-system functionalities. Search references a physical activity done by deputies (e.g., warrant search"). The file references all activities related to that search (e.g., location, objective, participants). Example: An incident or event would have a paper crime report. At some point, a search warrant activity ("search operation") would result in another paper-report on that incident. The requirements indicate the person doing the search is only adding/editing his "search report", not the original incident report.
16.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 324 The Solution supports handheld citation hardware.	What handheld citation hardware does the agency currently use?	Currently paper report/ticket book. The County has explored future system concepts of electronic note taking such as bar-code scanner (scan driver license bar-code to minimize data entry), and mobile print-out device for ticket given to ticketed-person.
17.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 326 The Solution allows user to complete citations from a mobile device (e.g. tablet or smartphone).	Does the agency use iOS or Android devices? Or a combination?	A combination.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
18.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 327 The Solution supports mobile printers (e.g., handheld device, in car printers, etc.).	What make/model of printer(s) does the agency use? Is the connection wireless?	Currently the Department is using Xerox printers to print a majority of their documents. There are a few one-off systems that are another printer (i.e. brother). There may also be a few wireless printers that are again a one off. The vast majority are Xerox brand printers.
19.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 334 The Solution captures and stores a digital signature associated with any citation.	What devices does the agency use to capture signatures?	The County does not currently use digital signatures. Everything is currently done via paper tickets.
20.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 474 The Solution requires users to request approval (from watch commander/sergeant) to defer a report.	What is meant by "defer" a report?	<p>Delay submission. For example, a report is not yet complete but a deputy is no longer on duty.</p> <p>Example: When there is not enough time in the deputy's shift to complete the report, he/she may ask for permission to complete the report when they are on duty for their following shift.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
21.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 476 The Solution automatically maintains a log of all transactions, including, but not limited to, the following information: 476c Terminal ID	Is this referring to tracking the device through which an entry was made? Or is this referring to something different?	Tracking should include device and user.
22.	Exhibit B, Technical Requirements, RMS Functional Requirements Item: 503 The Solution allows user to electronically route reports based on the following: 503b Email addresses	Can the agency please provide further clarification on this requirement and the expected workflow?	When submitting a report, the report author can forward the report based on the recipients email address. Typically, reports are routed to another system user; however, under some circumstances, user may want to securely route to an email address (e.g., courtesy report for a different agency; special unit that doesn't use RMS system, multi-agency task force, etc.)
23.	Bulletin 3 – Attachment A.1 - Q&A – Item 26	For hardware sizing, are we to use 8,835 as the number of sworn employed all using RMS—with jailers entering data (not just searching), per the County's response to question 26?	Yes.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
24.	RFP Document: Section 3.0: Maintenance Services, Customer Support and Section 4.0 Support Services	Various references are made to Contractor Helpdesk support and to the County's help desk. We do not typically provide end-user functional support. Please clarify the County's expectations of responsibilities as split between the two help desk entities, particularly regarding IT infrastructure versus RMS and technical versus functional support.	For routine questions, the County help desk (combo of typical I.T. help desk, agency RMS power users, train-the-trainers, supervisors). For more complex, unusual, technical questions, contractor's help desk must be available. Early on, the County will rely heavily on contractor's support. As time goes by, the County's reliance will decrease, except with regards to updates/new features.
25.	RFP Document	The instructions for proposers include directions to provide exceptions and/or red lining for the Sample Contract and the Statement of Work, but do not reference such for the County's SLA. Will the County consider a red-lined SLA and/or exceptions to that document?	Yes.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
26.	Exhibit A (SOW) and Attachments	<p>Please confirm that the following is a comprehensive list of all interfaces and integrations required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAD • GIS • JDIC/NCIC/CLETS/ County Databases (CWS/AJIS/LARCIS/JAI/eSCARs) • Automated Booking System • CAPSS (California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System) • MFIR (Mobile Field Interview Report) PRELIMS (Property, Evidence and Laboratory Information Management System) • SECDA (Sheriff's Electronic Criminal Documents Archive System) • Incident Data Export Applications (CentralSquare Applications [CrimeMapping.com, Crime Without Borders], COPLINK, Palantir, Socrata [includes Data.LACounty.gov], and FCI). • Sheriff's HR System • Automated Justice Information System (AJIS) • Countywide Warrant System (CWS) • LexisNexis/Coplogic DORS system • Accident diagramming tool • BlueCheck Fingerprint scanner 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Please note: PRELIMS, under MFIR, is a separate system/bullet point.</p>
27.	Exhibit A (SOW) and Attachments	<p>We notice that the county wishes to have motor vehicle collision reporting functionality but there is no requirement for an interface to send collision data to the state SWITRS— Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System. Is this an oversight and, if so, is a CA SWITRS interface required?</p>	<p>Not a requirement at this time. TBD during contract negotiations with the selected proposer.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
28.	Exhibit A (SOW) and Attachments	<p>Please provide the information below for each interface listed in "ATTACHMENT A.4 RMS SYSTEM INTERFACES" along with any other interface/integration required. This information (if available) will allow us to more accurately estimate interface development effort.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who is the vendor/owner/developer of the system to be interfaced? • What interface technology is available? Examples are "JSON web service", "XML web service", "proprietary TCP/IP protocol", "XML disk file", "proprietary disk file", etc. • Is there technical API documentation available? Does the documentation describe the required transactions, including definitions of the data elements (picklist, free-text, date, etc.)? If possible, please provide this documentation: this will help us more accurately estimate the interface development effort. • Does a similar interface exist now? If so, who developed it? • What is the approximate data element count for this interface? • Is a test system available? If so, what are the details, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o From what environments is the test system accessible (e.g. Internet, LASD on-premises...) o Does the test system exactly mirror the live system (e.g. does it enforce the same business rules/error checks) 	<p>The details of the interfaces depend on the capabilities and proposals of the RMS proposer's systems. Some RMS vendors may already have interfaces with certain third-party systems (e.g. PRELIMS Porter Lee Beast), while others may not. Also, custom interfaces will be needed for home-grown systems (e.g Sheriff's HR) or highly customized third-party systems (Automated Booking by DataWorks Plus). Transactional interfaces between systems would be preferred to bulk import/export via file-transfer, but would depend on complexity and implementation time needed. Some systems are in the process of being replaced in the next year or two. All of this requires analysis of the systems on each end (i.e. depends on RMS vendor, too) and negotiation with County regarding best method of interface ("you push to me", or "I pull from you", and vice-versa). For interfaces between in-house systems and a vendor (like an RMS), both the vendor and in-house staff work on the interface (design) and then implement on each side. Expect each custom interface to be a mini-project within a project, as LASD needs are more complex than small departments.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
		<p>We recommend that the County develop custom interfaces to local systems where necessary. The customer's IT development staff, or a contractor who regularly does work for the agency, are typically in the best position to develop interfaces to custom in-house systems or other systems in which they have expertise, or for which they have existing interfaces. With support and interface toolkit provided at no additional charge, would the County consider building interfaces themselves?</p>	
29.	Exhibit A (SOW) and Attachments	<p>Would the County consider performing data migration with vendor support? The most common and preferred approach for data conversion is for the effort to be agency-led. In that case, County technical staff would be responsible for conversion with the vendor providing guidance and support. County technical staff have full access to the data to be migrated, and we would support your team with matching to the RMS data model. There is no additional cost associated with support under this option.</p>	<p>Similar to the answer to question 31, this will depend on contract negotiation with the selected proposer and will be based on proposer capabilities. We expect data conversion to be a big project, possibly a later phase of the overall implementation with internal LASD staff working closely together with the selected contractor's staff.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
30.	Exhibit A (SOW) and Attachments	Regarding GIS and per Q&A Round 1, "the system will have to be able to ingest GIS data from Esri ArcGIS for data validation purposes, as well as for spatial and geographic analysis.". Please provide additional detail to clarify and define the specific analysis requirements.	<p>The County expects the system to provide location validation from a local GIS data source as well as spatial analysis based upon local data.</p> <p>The County anticipates that the RMS will have capabilities for crime analysts to map, graph, chart, and analyze the spatial geographic data (x,y coordinates) versus crime factors (person demographics, crime-types, etc.). Currently, crime analysts export basic crime info along with x,y coords to Esri / ArcGIS and create charts, graphs, maps, etc. Some RMS systems may have some of that functionality built-in, rather than having to export to some other system.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
31.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	<p>Technical RMS tab, item #40—Does this refer to both a disaster recovery (DR) site and an enterprise backup solution? Please provide information about all related infrastructure that you expect an on-premises solution to reuse. For the DR site, please include details of the connection between the primary and DR sites (speed and latency).</p>	<p>For either on-premises or cloud, Proposer has disaster recovery capability – both backup of data (data loss/corruption) and fail-over (hardware failure / earthquake / etc.). For on-premises, could backup be done by existing County IT staff to existing backup hardware and software (integrate with Department’s backup solution) – or – is a separate, dedicated backup hardware / software solution needed solely for the RMS? For failover, what methods are available from Proposer? Currently LASD has two data centers in two different cities within L.A. County, but more geographically separated may be better for widespread disaster recovery (massive earthquake).</p>
32.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	<p>RMS tab, item #27 (and others)—Please provide additional clarity regarding the County’s definition of “code tables”, with examples .</p>	<p>Example: California DOJ has a charge code table they maintain, that many agencies download and update when CA makes changes to the code table. The expectation is that there would be some way to import other code tables (possibly via some ETL process). Example: bulk reference table update from widely used authority, rather than updating reference tables row by row.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
33.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item #41a—Please provide additional detail regarding the County's expectations for the audible notification functionality.	An audible alert, such as "You've got mail" sound/message (or other alert) versus a pop-up screen saying "You've got mail". This is due to head-down/data-entry/safety issue (deputy looking around versus looking down at screen).
34.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 116—Please provide an example of the scenario(s) that would require adding property records with serial number directly into the Master Property Index without any other associated record .	<p>See examples below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Another agency has a list from a courtesy report, but needs to go into LASD RMS system (so some kind of export and import of the property to save on typing). 2. A big bust resulting in hundreds of items recovered. One guy gets to write the report but a bunch of them enter all the property (likely into some file that gets converted to .csv and imported). 3. Similar to 2, Home Depot has a list of the thousand items (including serial numbers) lost to mob theft – as a .CSV file (a deputy won't want to have to hand enter it).
35.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 191—"The Solution allows user to open specific records within relationship diagrams." Please clarify and provide additional information regarding the County's expectations for this functionality.	<p>When a relationship diagram is shown, a user would be able to select an item within the diagram to see further information.</p> <p>Example: if a person is linked to a vehicle, user would be able to select the vehicle to see further information).</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
36.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 209—Please provide more detail to clarify the County's expectations for the degree of automation in notifying vehicle owners of towed vehicles.	To generate a letter only – there is not expectation of the system providing a notification to the public. Example: a boilerplate message "mail merged" with relevant info from a tow (e.g., tow destination, reason, etc.)
37.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 218—Please provide more detail to clarify the County's expectations for automated queries of JDIC.	When the data is entered into appropriate field (submitted, entered), the system does a query into JDIC – or allows for an easy query from that screen [e.g., entering property serial number into system (recovered, or in possession of suspect, etc.) queries CLETS (JDIC is often LASD's front-end interface to CLETS) to see if it had been stolen.]
38.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 260—Please provide additional detail to clarify and expand on the County's expectations for functionality to automatically transfer cases.	It is the possibility to auto-assign to a detective based on location (station, reporting district), possibly least-assigned detective, or round-robin.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
39.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 267—Please provide additional detail to expand on and clarify the County's specific case journal activities report requirements.	The expectation is that a case journal is a log of all activities an investigator has taken. At conclusion of all activities, the system would aggregate and provide an output that summarizes all activities taken into a supplemental report. The supplemental report can be generated based on the information provided in the detective's case journal, which may include investigation updates, new leads, additional steps in the investigative process etc.
40.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 307—Please clarify what is meant by "MNI databases"? Does this refer to specific external systems?	MNI = Master Name Indices (DWX) MNI is a RMS method to prevent the same person from being entered into the system as multiple different records (prevent duplicate person records).
41.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 324—Please provide more detail regarding what is meant by "handheld citation hardware."	Hardware that can be used in the field that is not a laptop [e.g., phone, tablet, in-car printer, bar-code scanner (driver license), thumb/fingerprint scanner, etc.]
42.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 335—Please provide additional detail and clarification regarding the specific functionality required regarding capture and storage of fingerprints.	Electronic RMS version of ink thumbprint on a paper citation. Example: If a deputy captures a fingerprint as part of the citation, the system would associate that print with the record.
43.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 343—What is the County's current diagramming tool?	The County does not currently have a diagramming tool and is requiring one as part of this procurement.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 637-SH
RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 5
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS (Q&A)

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
44.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 356—Does the County require UCR reporting for a period, as well as CIBRS reporting?	It is the County's expectation to transition to CIBRS reporting with this implementation, but it is possible UCR reporting will be required during a transition period.
45.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 415—"The Solution utilizes query returns to populate reports." Please provide additional information to clarify and detail the County's specific expectations of this functionality.	The intent is to help minimize data entry. Example: When a subject / vehicle is run through JDIC, the information can be populated in the report form without having to retype it.
46.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 449s—Please provide additional information regarding the content and usage of the "Fire (SH-R-84)" report.	Arson report. Sample, blank report can be provided upon request.
47.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 454—Please provide additional detail regarding the anticipated import functionality. Is this filled in by an officer during stops or is it a citizen complaint form that would be submitted through the LexisNexis/Coplogic DORS system?	Any information that is taken as part of a regular report is made transferrable to the AB 953 report. In short, the County does not want to re-enter data that has already been collected (DWX). For example: if information is entered in CAD, information transfer to RMS via linking number between systems – (refer to RMS Func Req 9). AB953 = "Contact/Stop-Data" = RIPA (Racial Identity/Profiling) – officer stops citizen, enters that info.
48.	Exhibit B (Response Matrix)	RMS tab, item 457b—Please confirm that "locatopm" is a typo and that this should read "location". Does this come from GIS?	The requirement should read "location." Booking location references a facility, not GIS location.