

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
1	DC-07 DC-08 DC-09	<p>The RPS Solution imports existing saved mugbooks and lineups with all associated descriptive metadata.</p> <p>The RPS Solution provides a report of any mugbook, lineup or watchlist records that failed to import.</p> <p>The RPS Solution imports all existing watchlist records and associated descriptive metadata.</p>	<p>Will the system need to generate and/or change a photographic admonition in conjunction with a 6, 8, 12 person photographic line-up. Also having the ability to change languages of the photographic admonition.</p>	<p>Only if the new system needs to make any changes to the lineup, watchlist, or mugbook upon importing.</p>
2	<p>“Proposer’s Minimum Mandatory Requirements” in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bulletin Number 1, items 3-5 (page 2) • RFP, Paragraphs 3.13-3.1.5 (pages 4-5) • Appendix D, Exhibit 6 items 3-5 (pages 1-2) <p>RFP, Paragraph 7.9.7.3</p> <p>Appendix D, Exhibit 8 (pages 1-3)</p>	<p>Bulletin Number 1, item 3; RFP Paragraph 3.1.3; and Appendix D, Exhibit 6, item 3: “Proposer’s proposed COTS software must currently be in production use and being serviced by Proposer’s maintenance program for not less than two consecutive years, with at least one user being a United States (U.S.) public safety/law enforcement agency...”</p> <p>Bulletin Number 1, Item 4; RFP Paragraph 3.1.4; and Appendix D, Exhibit 6, item 4: “Proposer must have a minimum of one successful digital mugshot implementation of the proposed software (current or one major version prior) within the last 10 years...”</p> <p>Bulletin Number 1, Item 5; RFP Paragraph 3.1.5; and Appendix D, Exhibit 6, item 5: “Proposer must have a minimum of three successful facial recognition system implementations of the proposed software (current or one major version prior) within the last 10 years...”</p>	<p>Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are inconsistencies between the cited items/paragraphs noted above, specifically with respect to the total required number of references/implementations, as well as the number that must be public safety/law enforcement agencies and within the United States. Would the County please clarify? 2. The reference to a “successful digital mugshot implementation” in the second citation limits this specific reference request to LE implementations. Assuming not all references must be public safety/law enforcement agencies, would the County consider replacing the word “mugshot” with “facial image” to broaden the potential number of bidders?" 	<p>Paragraph 3.1.3 of the RFP and Exhibits 6 and 8 of Appendix D (Required Forms) have been updated via Bulletin #7.</p> <p>Paragraphs 3.1.4 and 3.1.5 of the RFP will stand as written.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
		<p>RFP, Paragraph 7.9.7.3: “a. Proposer must provide references from at least three current clients, not including the County of Los Angeles or any of its departments, for which Proposer provided the same or similar work as set forth in this RFP with solutions similar in size and complexity to that of the County procured hereunder...</p> <p>b. Proposer’s references must be from three of their largest biometric clients, comparable in size and complexity to the County, within the continental U.S.”</p> <p>Appendix D, Exhibit 8: “List at least three United States (U.S.) public safety/law enforcement agency references where the same or similar scope of services were provided.”</p> <p>“Law Enforcement References (U.S. Only) – at minimum, one reference required.” (two occurrences in the tables)”</p>		
3	RFP Paragraph 3.2.3 (page 6)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“Proposer’s RPS Solution must be Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) and Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI) compliant:</p> <p>https://www.fbi.gov/services/cjis/cjis-security-policy-resource-center</p>	<p>Questions:</p> <p>(1) The second URL refers to a missing URL page at CAL-DOJ. (2) Please clarify the CORI compliance regarding RPS system operations, for example, is it agency access to CORI records, dissemination, and distribution of CJIS data, etc.?</p>	<p>(1) Paragraph 3.2.3 of the RFP has been updated via Bulletin #7.</p> <p>(2) Yes, it is agency access to CORI records which documents any and all access to the records to ensure CORI compliance for audit purposes.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
		https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/Conditions-for-ReleaseCORI.pdf		
4	RFP Paragraph 7.9.1 (page 24) RFP Paragraph 7.9.8.4 (page 31)	Cited RFP language: Paragraph 7.9.1 lists: “Proposer’s Quality Control Plan (Section D)” Paragraph 7.9.8.4 is titled: “Proposer’s Quality Control Plan (Section C.4)”	Question: Please confirm that the list in Paragraph 7.9.1 should have identified the Proposer’s Quality Control Plan as “Section C.4” to align with Paragraph 7.9.8.4.	Paragraph 7.9.1 of the RFP has updated via Bulletin #7.
5	RFP Paragraphs 7.9.1-7.9.2 (pages 23-24), 7.9.7.3 (pages 26-28), 7.9.8.2 (pages 29-30), 7.9.10.3-7.9.10.4 (pages 32-33), and 7.9.11 (pages 33-34)	Cited RFP language: (General concern regarding placement of exhibits cited throughout RFP Paragraph 7.9)	Question: Paragraph 7.9.11 suggests that all required forms from RFP Appendix D (except Exhibit 13 [RPS Cost Proposal Form]) should be placed in Section E of the Business Proposal. However, Exhibits 1, 4, 8, 10, 11, and 12 are directly associated with other sections of the proposal, as indicated in RFP Paragraphs 7.9.1, 7.9.2, 7.9.7.3, 7.9.8.2, 7.9.10.3, and 7.9.10.4. Please clarify whether the County expects all exhibits to be placed in Section E of Proposers’ responses or only exhibits not referenced elsewhere in Paragraph 7.9.	All Exhibits listed under Paragraph 7.9.11 (Business Proposal Required Forms) must be included in Section E of Proposer’s Business Proposal.
6	RFP Paragraph 7.9.2 (page 24)	Cited RFP language:	Question:	Proposer must complete and sign Exhibit 7 (Declaration) of Appendix D (Required Forms) attesting that the

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
	RFP Paragraph 7.9.11 (page 34) Appendix D (Required Forms)	<p>Paragraph 7.9.2 states: “Proposer must complete, sign and date Exhibit 1 (Proposer’s Organization Questionnaire/Affidavit) of Appendix D (Required Forms), to this RFP.”</p> <p>Paragraph 7.9.11 (Business Proposal Required Forms [Section E]) states: “Proposal must include all completed, signed, and dated forms identified in Appendix D (Required Forms)”</p>	<p>RFP Paragraph 7.9.2 specifically states that Exhibit 1 must be signed and dated, although it does not include a dedicated signature block.</p> <p>RFP Paragraph 7.9.11 seems to imply that all exhibits in Appendix D must be signed and dated.</p> <p>However, only Exhibits 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, and 14 include signature blocks.</p> <p>Would the County please clarify whether all exhibits must be signed and dated or only Exhibit 1 and those with dedicated signature blocks?</p>	<p>information submitted in Exhibits 1-6 is true and correct.</p> <p>Additionally, Proposers must also complete any additional Exhibits with a dedicated signature block.</p>
7	RFP Paragraph 7.9.7.2 (page 26) RFP Paragraph 7.9.8.2, item b (page 30)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>Paragraph 7.9.7.2 (Proposer’s Key Staff) states that in Section B.2 of their responses, Proposers must provide “a name, summary of background and experience, area(s) of expertise, resume, and applicable certifications.”</p> <p>Paragraph 7.9.8.2 (Statement of Work), item b states that in Section C.2 of their responses, Proposers must “identify by name, each of Proposer’s staff identified in the DWP to perform each Task, and their qualifications to perform the Task.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>The instructions in the RFP indicate that Proposers should include details of proposed staff qualifications in both Sections B.2 and C.2. To clarify, does the County intend for Proposers to provide the complete details of proposed staff in Section B.2 with only supplemental details related to the specific project Tasks in Section C.2?</p>	<p>Proposer must identify their key staff and provide all supporting documentation in Section B.2 of its Business Proposal. Additionally, in Section C.2 of its Business Proposal, Proposer only needs to identify its key staff listed in its Detailed Work Plan, no supporting document required.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
8	RFP Paragraph 7.9.7.3, item 3 (page 27) RFP Paragraph 7.9.11 (page 33)	Cited RFP language: Paragraph 7.9.7.3 states: "Proposer's completed Exhibit 4 (Debarment History and List of Terminated Contracts) must be provided in Section F (Business Proposal Required Forms and Corporate Documents) of Proposer's business proposal." Paragraph 7.9.11 is titled: "Business Proposal Required Forms (Section E)"	Question: Please confirm that Paragraph 7.9.7.3, item 3 should have identified "Business Proposal Required Forms and Corporate Documents" as "Section E" to align with Paragraph 7.9.11.	Paragraph 7.9.7.3 of the RFP has been updated via Bulletin #7.
9	RFP Paragraph 7.9.7.5 (page 28) RFP Paragraph 8.7.2 (page 44-45)	Cited RFP language: Paragraph 7.9.7.5 states: "If a Proposer has no pending litigation, credible threatened litigation, or judgments, then a statement stating so must be provided in Section B.3 (Proposer's Pending Litigation and Judgements) of their Proposal." Paragraph 8.7.2, last paragraph states: "A review will be conducted to determine the significance of any litigation or judgments pending against Proposer as provided in Section B.4 (Pending Litigation and Judgments) of the Business Proposal."	Question: Please confirm that these paragraphs should refer to Section B.5 rather than Section B.3 or Section B.4.	Paragraphs 7.9.7.5 and 8.7.2 of the RFP have been updated via Bulletin #7.
10	RFP Paragraph 7.9.8.2 (page 29) RFP Paragraph 7.9.11 (page 34)	Cited RFP language: Paragraph 7.9.8.2 (Statement of Work [Section C.2]) instructs Proposers on what should be included in Section C.2 of their responses, stating: "Proposer must provide its response to Appendix A (Statement of Work) to this RFP, which must adhere	Questions: It is unclear exactly how and where the County would like Proposers to address the Tasks in the SOW. While other instructions throughout RFP Paragraph 7.9 indicate that Proposers should "complete" the Exhibits in	Paragraph 7.9.8.2 of the RFP has been updated via Bulletin #7.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
	Appendix D (Required Forms), Exhibit 12	<p>to the instructions and requirements set forth in this RFP, including Appendix B (Minimum Solution Requirements and Response Matrix) and Exhibit 12 (Statement of Work Response Form) of Appendix D (Required Forms) to this RFP.</p> <p>a. In its response to Appendix A (Statement of Work) and Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) to this RFP, Proposer must describe how it intends to provide the Solution, sequentially addressing how Proposer will execute each Task in the SOW.”</p> <p>Paragraph 7.9.11 (Business Proposal Required Forms [Section E]), however, suggests that “all completed, signed, and dated forms identified in Appendix D (Required Forms)” should be included in Section E of Proposers’ responses. Exhibit 12 is one of the “forms” listed in this paragraph. Also notably, Exhibit 12 sequentially addresses each of the Tasks in the SOW, including a “How Statement” for each.</p>	<p>Appendix D (as forms to be filled in), Paragraph 7.9.8.2 only indicates that the response “must adhere to” the instructions and requirements in the RFP, including Exhibit 12.</p> <p>Should Proposers treat Exhibit 12 as the overall structure the County would like Proposers to adhere to when addressing each Task in Section C.2 rather than a form to be filled in? Does the County prefer that Proposers respond to the SOW Tasks in both sections of the response (Section C.2 and Section E)—treating Exhibit 12 as a form to be filled in—even if that may result in redundant language?</p>	
11	RFP Paragraph 7.9.10.1 (page 32)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>Item b: “A statement offering Proposer’s acceptance of, or exceptions to, all requirements listed in Appendix A (Statement of Work) and Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) to this RFP, and”</p> <p>Item c: “A statement offering Proposer’s acceptance of, or exceptions to, all requirements listed in Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) to Appendix A</p>	<p>Questions:</p> <p>Item c appears to be redundant with item b (and itself). Please clarify the requested statements.</p>	Paragraph 7.9.10.1 of the RFP has been updated via Bulletin #7.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
		(Statement of Work) and Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) to this RFP.”		
12	Appendix A (SOW), Attachment A.1, Paragraph 10.4.1 (page 38) and 10.4.2 (page 39)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>Paragraph 10.4.1 is about System Administration Training and states: “This course will cover Solution management functions performed by the System administrators. This course will be at least four hours long for approximately 12 participants.”</p> <p>Paragraph 10.4.2 is also about System Administration Training and states: “This course will cover RPS Solution management functions performed by the RPS System administrators. The course will provide hands-on instruction on using the tools provided in the RPS Solution for accessing/printing statistical reports at the Law Enforcement (LE) agency level, assigning roles and permissions to User accounts, conducting audit trail queries for LE agency assigned Users, and other management inquiries. The course will be held four times with a duration of at least four hours long for approximately 30 participants for each course.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>Both paragraphs state System Administration Training requirements and they vary, particularly the number of sessions and number of participants. Please clarify.</p>	<p>Paragraph 10.4.1 of Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) refers to the whole solution. The course will provide hands-on technical training for County (LACRIS) technical staff.</p> <p>Paragraph 10.4.2 of Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) refers to RPS application administration. The course will provide hands-on training for Law Enforcement (LE) agency System Administrator that can assign roles and permissions to User accounts, conducting audit trail queries, and accessing/printing statistical reports for their assigned LE agency.</p>
13	Appendix A (SOW), Attachment A.1, Paragraph 11.0 (page 40)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“Task 11 Establish the Secondary Data Center’s Backup Recovery Site.</p> <p>Contractor must establish the backup recovery site at the secondary data center, both based on the specifications in the TAD (refer to Paragraph 4.1.5</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>1. The word “both” in the first sentence was applicable when both “Post-Production Test Environment” and “Backup Recovery Site” were mentioned in the 2023 RFP. With “Post-Production Test Environment”</p>	<p>Paragraph 11.0 (Establish the Secondary Data Center’s Backup Recovery Site) of Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) has been updated via Bulletin #7.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
		above) and IAD (refer to Paragraph 5.1 above). The post-Production Test Environment will be used to test patches, updates, upgrades, etc., prior to the County’s acceptance thereof.”	<p>removed from the new RFP, the word “both” is not applicable and should be removed.</p> <p>2. “Post-Production Test Environment” mentioned in the 2023 RFP has been removed from the latest RFP. However, the last sentence of the paragraph describing the “post-Production Test Environment” has been retained, which appears out of place and should be removed.</p>	
14	Appendix A (SOW), Attachment A. (page 1 of 3)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“Proposer must list all virtual hardware and software specifications required for the RPS Solution, where such specifications substantiate Proposer’s pricing quoted in Exhibit 13 (RPS Cost Proposal Form) of Appendix D (Required Forms) to the RFP.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>There is no mention of Attachment A.4 in the RFP instructions for either the Business Proposal or the Cost Proposal (i.e., RFP Paragraphs 7.9–7.10 and their sub-paragraphs). Other references to Attachment A.4 pertain to its use or update following contract award. However, the form states that the “Proposer” (vs. “Contractor”) must list all hardware and software. Please clarify if and where Attachment A.4 should be placed within the proposal.</p>	Paragraph 7.10 of the RFP, and Attachment A.4 (Virtual Hardware and Software Delivery List and Specification Sheet) have been updated; and Exhibit 15 (Virtual Hardware and Software Delivery List and Specification Sheet) of Appendix D (Required Forms) has been added via Bulletin #7.
15	Appendix A (SOW), Attachment A.4 Appendix D (Required Forms),	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>(General question regarding availability of fillable forms)</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>Would the County kindly provide these forms as fillable PDFs or Word documents to facilitate completion</p>	Fillable forms will be provided upon Proposer’s email request to Contract Analyst.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
	Exhibits 1-7, 9-12, and 14		using the County's existing styles/formatting?	
16	Appendix B (SRRM), Requirement FR-05 (page 3 of 30)	Cited RFP language: "The RPS Solution provides mobile FR functionality for multiple devices (e.g., Smart Phone, iPad, and Surface Pro) using a web browser."	Question: Please clarify if mobile devices (especially smart phones) need to have access to the full RPS functionality or just the more limited set of requirements in FR-05, FR-05a, and FR-05b. If it is limited to mobile FR, can the County provide additional details on the expected results display and follow-on functionality?	The browser-based application should be fully compatible with mobile browsers, including smartphones and tablets, offering identical functionality to the desktop experience. Additionally, it must automatically scale and adapt its interface to fit these devices' diverse screen sizes and resolutions, ensuring an optimized and consistent user experience regardless of the device used to access the application.
17	Appendix B (SRRM), Requirements FR-05, FR-05a, FR-05b, and TE-53 (pages 3 and 20 of 30)	Summary of Cited RFP language: There are a few requirements regarding Mobile FR functionality, from device categories to authentication to quality checking during capture to email notifications during hit on mobile search transactions.	Questions: 1. Does the scope of the RPS RFP require the vendor to provide a mobile software to identify offenders, persons of interest, or suspects in the field for patrol officers, detectives, or other LE personnel, and thus, capture of a facial image, image quality check, submission for FR search, and search results would be required? 2. If so, then this mobile software would need to be available for mobile devices, such as a smartphone or other. Can LASD indicate the specific device per use case? Also, how can	Please refer to County's response to Question #16 above.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER’S QUESTION	ANSWER
			<p>LASD provide the number of potential users of the mobile software?</p> <p>3. If the mobile software will be on smartphones, please indicate whether they will be Android or iOS smartphone devices. Also, please indicate whether a native mobile app (in lieu of a web browser version) is a viable solution?</p> <p>Note: Appendix E, Exhibit 13 does not specify Mobile FR software licenses to be provided.</p>	
18	<p>Appendix B (SRRM), Requirement FR-07 (page 4 of 30)</p> <p>Appendix D (Req. Forms), Exhibit 6, item 12 (page 3 of 4)</p>	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>The two related requirements have distinctions in their wording, regarding the two NIST FRTE tested FR algorithms:</p> <p>Appendix B, Requirement FR-07:</p> <p>“Proposer’s selected FR algorithms must be from a commercial organization which scored at or above the following factors in the FBI’s FRTE 1:N Identification ‘Investigation by Developer’:</p> <p>a. Mugshot to Mugshot ‘N = 12,000,000’ – top 75 b. Mugshot to Mugshot ‘N = 1,600,000’ – top 50”</p> <p>Appendix D – MMR #12:</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>Please clarify the specific text requirement(s) for the FRTE 1:N Identification “Investigation by Developer”. In our cited references, the text in question is underlined. The two requirements should have the same wording for the sake of overall compliance and affidavit signature.</p>	<p>Requirement FR-07 of Appendix B (Solution Requirements Response Matrix) and item 12 of Exhibit 6 (Minimum Mandatory Requirements) to Appendix D (Required Forms) were previously updated under Bulletin #6.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
		<p>“Proposer’s selected FR algorithms must be from a commercial organization which scored at or above the following factors in the FBI’s FRTE 1:N Identification ‘Investigation by Developer’:</p> <p>Mugshot to Mugshot ‘N = 12,000,000’ – top 75 Mugshot to Webcam ‘N = 1,600,000’ – top 50”</p>		
19	Appendix B (SRRM), Requirement LO-09 (page 11 of 30)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“The RPS Solution restores the User’s auto sizing settings, per workstation/device, upon logout and log back in.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>Please clarify what sizing setting this requirement is referring to.</p>	<p>Whatever size the user selected when they were viewing the website (i.e., some users run Chrome at 150% to make it easier to see text, others do smaller. Or some users don’t do full screen of the web browser, so the aspect ratios of boxes will be different, and auto sized.)</p>
20	Appendix B (SRRM), Requirement TE-25 (page 17 of 30)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“The RPS Solution’s audit trail stores all subject images that the User investigated and processed, as stated in Requirement FR-06.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>The storage of all binary data in the audit trail will result in a large amount of redundant image storage. Can the solution store reference pointers to the associated search and comparison images in the audit trail instead of storing the actual binary data?</p>	<p>Yes, the pointers will suffice.</p>
21	Appendix B (SRRM), Requirement TE-64a (page 21 of 30)	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“The RPS Solution sends additional e-mail reminders, as defined by County (e.g. a LE Agency group e-mail address), if there is no response from User in TE-67.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>It appears the reference to requirement TE-67 is incorrect as 67 relates to HTML5 support. Please update the reference to the correct requirement.</p>	<p>Requirement TE-64 of Appendix B (Solution Requirements Response Matrix) has been updated via Bulletin #7.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
22	Appendix B (SRRM), Requirement TE-95 (page 24 of 30)	Cited RFP language: "The RPS Solution, for Requirement TE-93 above, allows Users or RPS System Administrators to set a date/time value for turning off the 'away from office' flag (e.g., Microsoft Outlook's Automatic Replies [Out of Office] functionality)."	Question: It appears the reference to requirement TE-93 (interface protocol) should be directed to requirement TE-94 (away from office flag). Please confirm.	Requirement TE-95 of Appendix B (Solution Requirements Response Matrix) has been updated via Bulletin #7.
23	RFP Paragraph 8.7.3.3 (end of page 43)	Cited RFP language: "The highest-ranking Proposers, as solely determined by the County, will be invited to conduct demonstrations of their Solutions in accordance with Paragraph 7.13 (Proposer's Demonstration) of this RFP to corroborate their Business Proposals."	Question: Paragraph 7.13 (Proposer's Demonstration) mentioned in the above statement does not exist in the RFP. Would the County please clarify which paragraph it is referring to in the above statement?	Paragraph 8.7.3 of the RFP has been updated via Bulletin #7.
24	Attachment A.1, Paragraph 5.1.5 (page 19)	Cited RFP language: "Notwithstanding the County and Department's security requirements specified in Attachments C.1 – C.5 to Exhibit C (Service Level Agreement), ..."	Question: Attachments in Exhibit C (Service Level Agreement) are C.1–C.4 only. Would the County please confirm whether an Attachment C.5 exists and make it available?	Paragraph 5.1.5 of Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) has been updated via Bulletin #7.
25	Attachment A.4, Hardware and Software Delivery List and Specification Sheet (page 3 of 3)	Cited RFP language: "I hereby attest that the hardware and software to be delivered to the County including all specifications detailed here above, will meet or exceed the stated in Schedule C.5 (Solution Response Time Requirements) to Exhibit C (Service Level Agreement) for a period of five years from Final Acceptance based on LACRIS' volume and	Question: Since this form requires legally binding signature, would the County please confirm the correct citations in the above statement? Should Schedule C.5 actually be C.4 and Paragraph 2 (Background) actually be Paragraph 3 (Legacy System(s) to be Replaced)?	Attachment A.4 (Hardware and Software Delivery List and Specification Sheet) has been updated via Bulletin #7.

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
		<p>anticipated growth stated in Paragraph 2 (Background) of Appendix A (Statement of Work) [360,000 bookings and 250,000 records of subject images per year and two percent volume increase per year].”</p>		
26	Appendix D, Exhibit 10, Statement of Work Certification, second checkbox	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>“Proposer: (i) proposes revisions to Appendix A (Statement of Work) and Attachment A.1 (Tasks and Deliverables) to the RFP in accordance with Paragraph 7.9.11 (Terms and Conditions in the Sample Contract, and Requirements of the Statement of Work: Acceptance of/or Exceptions to (Section E)) of the RFP, while preserving the scope and format of the Statement of Work and its Tasks and Deliverables, which proposed revisions are attached to Proposer’s Business Proposal in response to the RFP, and (ii) acknowledges and agrees that the County may, in its sole discretion, find that such proposed revisions are material enough to deem the proposal non-responsive and not be subject to further evaluation or may deduct points from Proposer’s evaluation score, as further specified in Paragraph 7.9.11.3 (Statement of Work (Section E.1)) of the RFP.”</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>Since this form requires legally binding signature, would the County please confirm the correct citations in the above statement? Should Paragraph 7.9.11 (Section E) actually be 7.9.10 (Section D) and Paragraph 7.9.11.3 (Section E.1) actually be 7.9.10.3 (Section D.1)?</p>	<p>Exhibit 10 (Statement of Work Certification) has been updated via Bulletin #7.</p>
27	Appendix D, Exhibit 11, Sample Contract Certification, second checkbox	<p>Cited RFP language:</p> <p>"Proposer: (i) takes exceptions and proposes revisions to Appendix C (Sample Contract) to the RFP or to any of the Appendices, Exhibits, Attachments or Schedules attached thereto in accordance with Paragraph 7.9.11 (Terms and</p>	<p>Question:</p> <p>Since this form requires legally binding signature, would the County please confirm the correct citations in the above statement? Should Paragraph 7.9.11 (Section E) actually be 7.9.10</p>	<p>Exhibit 11 (Sample Contract Certification) has been updated via Bulletin #7.</p>

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) NO. 582-SH
REGIONAL PHOTO SYSTEM (RPS)**

ATTACHMENT 1 TO BULLETIN NUMBER 7
PART 1 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION NUMBER	RFP/REQUIREMENT NUMBER	RFP LANGUAGE (IF PROVIDED)	POTENTIAL PROPOSER'S QUESTION	ANSWER
		<p>Conditions in the Sample Contract, and Requirements of the Statement of Work (SOW): Acceptance of/or Exceptions to (Section E)) of the RFP, which exceptions and proposed revisions are attached to Proposer's Business Proposal in response to the RFP, and (ii) acknowledges and agrees that the County may, in its sole discretion, find that such exceptions and/or proposed revisions are material enough to deem the proposal non-responsive and not be subject to further evaluation or may deduct points from Proposer's evaluation score, as further specified in Paragraph 7.9.11.2 of the RFP.”</p>	<p>(Section D) and Paragraph 7.9.11.2 actually be 7.9.10.2?</p>	